

Excerpt of correspondence to RM from a constituent. Unsure if the author ever received answers to the questions but if not, that is typical of this Council and current Police Board.

1. Looking through the minutes of the October 22nd meeting and comparing them to the Audio version there is a discrepancy. Council verbally agreed to defer the decision until the applicant/developer met with the residents of North Oaks Condo. The audio does not support the written record as reflected in the Minutes that the evidence portion of the meeting was closed by a vote of council. Not only are the minutes incorrect, this is important because the members of the Condo Committee after meeting with the applicant/ developer may have further evidence to relay to council and/or the applicant/developer may have further information to enter into the record as well. Will you amend the minutes accordingly?

Excerpt from October 22nd Minutes as posted:

8.1 Development Plan Amendment By-law 20-18 -

Residential to Employment - SW 27-11-5 EPM - Didyk - 2nd Reading In Attendance: Quinton Didyk For Information: Darryl Speer, Heather Erickson In Opposition: Gillian Overall, Eric Overall, Phillis Gridley, Box Axford, Gerald Cole, Stephanie Cole, Valerie Anderson, Darryl Speer, Kathy Loewen In Support: Scott Chabluk The Development Officer read his report.

The Chair closed the evidentiary portion of the meeting. Moved By: Glen Fuhl Seconded By: Valerie Ralke Council deferred 2nd Reading of the Development Plan Amendment in order to allow public meetings with the Residents of the Oaks North Condos. Deferred

2. The Agenda for tomorrow's meeting is not clear if it is to be held in Council Chambers or an alternate site or if only virtually. Also the access information to participate by zoom does not appear at the top of the agenda as it does on other agendas. The previous meeting was held in Cooks Creek Community Hall but that was clearly identified. Are not all Council Buildings closed during the current COVID-19 Public Health guidelines? This is definitely confusing to the public and will you revise the Agenda to reflect how they can access the meeting via zoom or in person?