

RM OF SPRINGFIELD
Planning Meeting
October 24, 2024

WITHOUT PREJUDICE (E&OE)

LENGTH OF MEETING 1 hours 12 minutes

2. CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Therrien – Thank you very much. Um this is the RM of Springfield uh meeting agenda, sorry, October uh 24th uh 2024 at exactly uh 6 p.m. I'm uh Mayor Patrick uh Therrien. I'll introduce everybody on the front here Chambers uh starting from my left, Dan Doucet, uh Martin Sandhurst, backing the CAO is Kristy Groenheide and JoAnne uh Marin is on our controls from um Planning Branch as well. Um if everybody can uh turn your cell phones off uh or on vibrate uh that'd be appreciated uh **there**. Um I will go to uh allowing the uh Council to introduce themselves uh first with our Deputy uh Mayor Glen Fuhl. **Councillor Fuhl** – Uh Deputy Mayor Glen Fuhl. Thank you. **Councillor Kuczynski** – Andy Kuczynski, Councillor, Ward 2. **Councillor Miller** – Mark Miller, Councillor Ward 3. **Councillor Warren** – Melinda Warren, Ward 4. **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you very much.

3. REMOVAL OF ITEM 8.1 (BY-LAW 21-25 2ND READING) FROM THE AGENDA

3.1 Approval of the Agenda- Mayor Therrien – Uh then if we can go to Item No. 3, that's Approval of the Agenda, can I get a mover and a seconder for that uh please. Uh Melinda and uh Glen (Warren/Fuhl). Any questions or omissions uh from the Agenda. Uh Mark. **Councillor Miller** – Uh thank you, Mr. Mayor. I would like to uh move that uh Item 8.1 be removed from the October 24, 2024 Agenda to a later meeting. **Mayor Therrien** – Uh why? **NOTE: Not a very professional way of asking.** Why? **Councillor Miller** – Uh first we need someone to second that, if you ... **Mayor Therrien** – So you want to make a motion **NOTE: Read your Procedures Manual. Everything must be done by resolution, Mr. Mayor.** uh to remove it. **Councillor Miller** – Yes, I'm making a motion that item 8.1 be removed from the October 24th. Agenda to a later meeting. **Mayor Therrien** – Uh you know, I don't know if we can move it. It's part of the agenda but I'd like to know why. **Councillor Miller** – Well a person can't have debate until you have somebody second it. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok, Andy, go ahead. **Councillor Kuczynski** – Yes, I would second that. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok, uh what, for what reasons. **Councillor Miller** – There's many, the rationale being Council specifically asked on September 24th that paper copies of the document, and that the Report from Mr. Sandhurst to Council, and that the public uh to be able to review and for the Council to consider all of the information prior to voting on the By-law. The information requested was only provided as **part of the agenda** that was posted on **October the 18th** which was not sufficient time for ... uh to allow for a thorough review. Uh **secondly** that as per the Minutes of the meeting state that Council considered various changes, that there was no vote by Council on the Planning Department proposed changes and the points arising from the September 24th meeting. Council must have time to review the recommendations from the Planning Department but also to review all of the concerns of the residents. Decisions on by-laws are made by Council who must vote on them. Number **Three** – this uh By-law has already been before the Municipal Board who returned the By-law back to the Municipality and specifically told Springfield that we should hold as many public hearings as necessary, and handle as many of the residents' issues as we can before sending it back to the Municipal Board. The take-away was this: we should do our work and not leave it to the Board to deal with numerous objectors. We, Council, have not done a review of this By-law thoroughly. We cannot solely rely on recommendations from the Planning staff, we must consider our constituents and this By-law's impact on them. Finally, uh Number **Four** that the Municipal Board rebuked the Municipality for not following legislative procedure and Springfield should not let that happen again. Let's not embarrass Springfield again. **NOTE: I would say that nothing could surpass the embarrassment that has already befallen this Municipality based on the antics of some members of the current Council. It is comparable to a television drama.** Let's do this By-law lawfully and in the best interests of the entire Municipality. **Mayor Therrien** – Uh um, other members from Council? Glen? **Councillor Fuhl** – I would say that this stays on the agenda and we have a discussion at that time uh to hear what the report is from Administration. I know that I've said that um that I would want to see proce... before second reading, that we would have a document with all the changes and I'm aware of that so I just want to hear what Administration has to say. Thank you. **Mayor Therrien** – Anybody else? Andy? **Councillor Kuczynski** – Mr. Mayor, I asked for documentation be presented in a written form to the residents first of all and then Council and that was not sufficient time to go through everything. We don't know ... we should have actually a meeting with our Planning Department and review point by point what was suggested to us. We have to have that discussion before we can proceed any farther. It's not fair to the Council, it's not fair to the residents of this Municipality. Thank you. **Mayor Therrien** – Mark? **Councillor Miller** – Uh thank you, Mr. Mayor. Also building on what Councillor Fuhl just said, the "ski" and I, I think had expectations that we would have discussion with the Planning Department and these recommendations and then also consult with the public. So I'm not opposed to his consideration of hearing those but what I am opposed to is actually voting on this uh without us frankly having thorough and exhaustive uh review of it. In other words, looking at it for 5 minutes or less or having a Report by Mr. Sandhurst uh puts us in a very precarious position of actually having to uh assume certain things where we haven't had thorough and custodial review of these uh at least exhaustive ... again building on what Councillor Fuhl said, I'm not opposed to hearing the Report but I don't think we should be voting on it. **Mayor Therrien** – Uh Melinda? **Councillor Warren** – Um this has been going on since 2021 and then Mr. Sandhurst has **given us the lowdown** and we had two, well we had one Public Hearing over two days which, you know, June and September, and we listened to the residents and the residents all had their points and we have discussed that with the amendments that we're going to be doing so I'm not sure where, where we need to look at this more. Uh **Mr. Sandhurst there is a Planner** and has been **doing this kind of work for years**. So I trust our Planning Department, I've asked them if I don't know how the procedure works, I've asked Mr. Doucet as well how it works because I am not rehearsed totally a hundred percent in the Planning Act. Those two gentlemen sitting across from me know exactly what they, what they need to know about the Planning Act. So I'm ok to leave this on the agenda. **NOTE: Personally I feel that any person that runs for a position on Council should be familiar with the Manitoba Municipal Act, The Manitoba Planning Act, and the is also a Planning Handbook that walks one through the contents of the Act, and when elected, should not rely solely on reports from staff. One, of course, cannot remember every single detail in these Acts but one should know how to locate provisions/information in them.** **Mayor Therrien** – And I'll finish it off. I totally agree with uh what the rationale's been here, it's on the Agenda, let's talk about it at that time but uh to arbitrarily take it off, I think there's no rationale for that. So I'm prepared to, to go **put it to a vote** uh now. Um what would the wording be for

that? **Councillor Miller** – Well ya *Be it Resolved that Item 8.1 be removed from the October 24th Agenda.* **Mayor Therrien** – Ok. We'll put it in writing first and then we'll ... we'll vote on it. **NOTE: In writing is good. That way proof on paper exists should there ever be any legal reason to have it available. **Councillor Miller** – And of course, a recorded vote is necessary on this uh too. **Kristy Groenheide** – I'll read the resolution. *Be it Resolved that Item 8.1 be removed from the October 24th Agenda.* **NOTE: Item 8.1 By-law 21-25 – Springfield Zoning By-law – 2nd Reading.** **Councillor Miller** – To a later meeting. **Kristy Groenheide** – To a later meeting. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok, I'm not sure if we can vote the way that wording is **there** uh those that are in support of that will have it turned over to another meeting. So those opposed, it does not have to be uh the wording has to show the support first? It does not. Ok. Um ok with uh ok then uh we'll here it one more time and then we're prepared to vote. **Kristy Groenheide** – *Be it Resolved that Item 8.1 be removed from the October 24th Agenda to a later meeting.* **Mayor Therrien** – Those in support. That'll be Mark uh Miller and Andy Kuczynski. Uh those opposed. That'd be Melinda Warren, uh um Glen Fuhl, and **Patrick uh Therrien**. That is uh passed. **NOTE: It was not passed, it was defeated. Three were in opposition including the Mayor and only two in support.** Then lets go to Adoption of the Minutes uh please. And uh **what number is that?** **NOTE: My goodness, the Mayor is not "on his game" at this meeting.** Oh, ok. Um so Approval of the Agenda please, can I get a show of hands for the approval of the agenda. That'll be Melinda, Glen, and **Patrick**, myself (Warren, Fuhl, **Therrien**). Uh those opposed, that'll be Mark and Andy (Miller/Kuczynski). That is passed. Then we'll go to Adoption of the Minutes, please. Oh, sorry. She's telling me to slow down. **NOTE: Oy vey!** **Kristy Groenheide** – Well, I need to read the Resolution. *Be It Resolved that the Agenda be approved.* **Mayor Therrien** – Ok, well then did you want another vote uh for that uh ok.**

4. ADOPTION OF MINUTES – PLANNING MEETING – September 26, 2024 - Mayor Therrien – Then we'll move to Number 4 uh please and that's the Adoption of the Minutes, a mover and a seconder, please. That'll be Glen and Melinda (Fuhl/Warren). Any **additions, modifications or changes** to the uh Minutes on uh September 26th. **NOTE: Additions – the action or process of adding something to something else. Modifications – the action of modifying something. Changes – make (someone or something) different; alter or modify. And the Mayor is always trying to use repetition as justification for disallowing items on an agenda or delegations. I guess what's sauce for the goose is not sauce for the gander.** I see none. Can I get a show ... **Kristy Groenheide** – I'll read the resolution. *Whereas the Minutes of the Council Meeting held September 24th 2024 have been previously distributed to Council, Be It Resolved that the same be and are hereby approved.* **Mayor Therrien** – Ok. Show of hands, those in support. That is unanimous and is carried.

5. ADOPTION OF MINUTES – SPECIAL MEETING – September 24, 2024 - Mayor Therrien – Then we'll go to Item 6. uh 1 uh that's uh Proposal ... **Councillor Miller** – I think you missed one, Mr. Mayor. **Mayor Therrien** – What's that? **Councillor Miller** – I think you missed one. Another point ... **Mayor Therrien** – Uh Adoption of the Minutes. **Kristy Groenheide** – Another one. **Mayor Therrien** – Which one? **Councillor Kuczynski** – Item Number 5. **Mayor Therrien** – Oh, the Special Minutes. Ok. Sorry about that. Uh can I get a mover and a seconder for Item Number 5 please. Uh Melinda and **Patrick** (Warren/**Therrien**). Any additions uh to the Minutes uh for September 24th? **Andy. Councillor Kuczynski** – Um I would suggest that we not vote about the September 24 Minutes as written. The Minutes are not correctly and thoroughly recorded about the meeting and we asked for the recorded vote on that. **NOTE: My notes are transcribed verbatim and are 13 pages long, the RM Minutes are 2 pages long.** **Mayor Therrien** – Say, say that again, uh Andy. **Councillor Kuczynski** – The Minutes **do not correctly and thoroughly record what occurred in the meeting.** And I asked for a recorded vote on that. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, what, what doesn't on there, you're saying that it doesn't uh ... **Councillor Kuczynski** – The Minutes wasn't recorded correctly. **Mayor Therrien** – What wasn't though? **Councillor Kuczynski** – What was said in the meeting and record those minutes. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok, you're saying that it wasn't recorded but what was left out? **NOTE: All the dialogue. In my opinion, the notes of that meeting are a travesty!** **Councillor Kuczynski** – Well, somebody has to review but I don't have point by point but somebody has to review them and put them correctly in the records. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok but you said what are those? Start with one ... what's the first one? **Councillor Kuczynski** – I don't have it with me, the Minutes, so I cannot say it but I'm just asking Council to ... to not accept this ... adopt this September meeting Minutes and, and review them. **Mayor Therrien** – Um well I reviewed ... **NOTE: If he had, it would have taken him less than a minute to do so. If he doesn't see those Minutes as a problem, he has a problem. Basically their Minutes list those in attendance, those in opposition, those in support, it refers to their response to Municipale relations briefly, and a list of the proposed alterations. It ends with "the Chair closed the evidentiary portion of the meeting. I'm not sure what they define as evidence.** I didn't see a problem there, uh uh but you've got to let me know what, what is the problem though. Like if you're saying there's problems but you can't tell me what they are, I'm not sure how I'm able to uh figure it out or even Council. Go ahead, Mark. **Councillor Miller** – Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I kind of concur with Councillor Kuczynski. I looked over them very briefly, unfortunately not thoroughly and I saw that there were some elements that could be enhanced in them and so I see no problem in just deferring them as they are most critical ... **Councillor Kuczynski** – And we can approve them in a later meeting. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, we've all had the same chance to look through this. I looked through it, I didn't see a problem there. **NOTE: Then you were not looking, Mr. Mayor. None of the salient points brought up by the speakers at the Hearing are recorded. The very reason for the meeting was to get the opinions of the residents or at least, that is the way I understood it. You should know that there is a problem. That is not a sufficient record of such an important meeting.** Unless you guys can tell me what, what the problems are um we've all had the same time to look through it. Give me an idea of what the problem was. **Councillor Miller** – Well, to be frank, I think that I left many of my notes at my residence or at the workplace. Um but as I understand the Assistant CAO, if we adopt them tonight, we can still make changes if that's how you want to proceed. You can still make modifications if they come up at this point if you're so, how can I say, pardon, oh ... **NOTE: Councillor Miller is attending the meeting by ZOOM from Bangkok. However the information he received from Kristy is incorrect. Once those meeting minutes are approved, they are a permanent record of what actually occurred at the Meeting and should not ever be changed, as I understand it. History cannot be changed although many might like to.** **Councillor Kuczynski** – Well, I suggested that they bring our objection to those Minutes that were presented and to the next meeting and then we approve them. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, you know, I don't ... if you have a problem with it, then you have to be prepared to, to tell me what it is now. Like if you're prepared to say there's a problem, what is the problem. **Councillor Kuczynski** – I don't have the notes with me, I **Mayor Therrien** – So you've got a problem too, you haven't got your notes with you and Mark doesn't have his notes with him. So why is that? **Councillor Miller** – Well do you bring everything all the time? **Mayor Therrien** – Ya, I do. **NOTE: This from the man who has to keep asking where they are in the agenda. I have trouble believing that.** **Councillor Miller** – Every time. **Mayor Therrien** – Ya. I do. Well, the both of youse at the same time, not having your notes? Together? **Councillor Miller** – Well, if you want to debate and be kind of ignorant, then do it but ... **Mayor Therrien** – That's not ignorant ... like ... because you're ... if, if you have a problem, when you say that there's

problems with it, let me know what the problems are. **Councillor Miller** – Well like I said, if I had my notes, I probably could but I don't. **Mayor Therrien** – So you left your notes and Andy, you left your notes, ok. I'm not sure so what, what are our options then? **Councillor Kuczynski** – It's not a big deal. We just want to have it recorded correctly. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, you can't record anything correctly because you have ... you don't know what the uh the problems are with it. **Councillor Kuczynski** – Ok, we said we were going to bring the ... the correction to the next meeting. **NOTE: It is a simple request, to hold off approving the Minutes until they are reviewed and HOPEFULLY, expanded upon. It is more than a correction, the recorded Minutes are lacking important information.** **Councillor Miller** – It's not critical to adopt them. It's not critical to adopt them today. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, I just don't want to delay things uh there, I don't uh I don't see why we're ... it's ... these ... this isn't a legal uh thing there ... **Councillor Miller** – Well sure it is. **Mayor Therrien** – Well why don't you take a few minutes and there's only 2 pages here so ... **Councillor Miller** – Well, do you want me to go to my office to get them out. It's going to take 2 hours to come back but ...uh go ahead, Glen. **Councillor Fuhl** – I recommend we defer them for this time around. **Mayor Therrien** – Um Melinda, you're ... **NOTE: It's is very faint on the audio but I think she said we defer them this time.** ok, then I uh will defer it then if everybody's in agreement with that. Um ...um I just find it's ironic that uh the two uh councillors that uh want to uh defer this uh haven't got their notes uh present and I hope that's noted in our uh in our Minutes uh because if you've got a problem with it, then uh you should be prepared to ... to let us know what they are. **Councillor Fuhl** - Motion. **Kristy Groenheide**– Do you want to vote to show it Defer, in agreement? **Mayor Therrien** – Um sure. Um if we'll vote on that? Ok. **Kristy Groenheide**– Ok. So *Whereas the Minutes of the Council meeting held September 2 ... the Special Meeting Minutes held September 24th have been previously distributed to Council, Be it Resolved that they will be deferred.* **NOTE: That is a terribly worded resolution. It should have read something like "Whereas the Minutes of the Special Meeting held September 24th have been found to be incomplete, their adoption will be deferred to another meeting."** Honestly, I wonder but I guess it may be difficult for some to formulate their thoughts on the spot. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok. Those in support? That is Melinda, Mark uh Andy and Patrick. Those opposed? Uh Patrick. **NOTE: The Mayor just vote both For and Against.** (laughter) **Councillor Miller** – You said both of them. You just said both. **Mayor Therrien** – Oh, Glen. (laughs heartily) Ok. Um so that's uh we'll defer that uh to the next uh meeting. **NOTE: That's providing the Minutes have been corrected by then.**

6. PLANNING MATTERS – NON-PUBLIC HEARING MATTERS/SUBDIVISION

6.1 Proposal to Subdivide 4189-24-7798 (Minor) – 60081 Spruce Road – 1 New Lot – Vaags – Mayor Therrien - Then we'll go to Item 6.1, that's uh Proposal to uh Subdivide uh um 60081 Spruce uh Road uh one new lot under uh Vaags. Can I get a mover and seconder for that uh please. Uh Mark and uh Glen (Miller/Fuhl). **Dan Doucet** – So the first subdivision before Council is a minor subdivision which does not need a public hearing. We have subdivision 4189-24-7798. Uh the current zoning and required zoning both fall under the AG Agricultural General Zoning District. Current land designation and required designation under the Development Plan are Agriculture Preserve Area. Mr. and Mrs. Vaags are proposing to subdivide the 10-acre uh yard site from the existing 136.73 acres, the agricultural holding, to enable the sale of the residual farmland. The yard site contains a residential dwelling and various accessory structures, uh being agricultural storage buildings and grain silos. Uh the dwelling is serviced by a sewage ejector and a private individual well. The yard site has an existing ... existing access off Spruce Road. The residual lot uh abuts an 80 ft. drain which runs north/south along the east side of Spruce Road. To the north is the Cordite Diversion uh and just to the north of the Cordite Diversion is the Springfield Regional Wastewater Treatment facility. Uh the Springfield Regional Wastewater Treatment facility is located within the southeast of 11-11-5 EPM to the northeast of the proposed residual property. Dwellings are subject to uh setbacks from the Wastewater uh Treatment Facility in accordance with the Provincial requirements and our Municipal Zoning Bylaw. I have provided Council with a Map from our GIS of a no uh building area for dwellings uh surrounding the Municipal Wastewater Treatment Facility. This application is consistent with the Development Plan and meets the Provincial criteria for a minor subdivision which enables it to be conditionally approved without requiring uh any interdepartmental circulation or Provincial Planning Report. Council has 4 options. Council may deny the subdivision, Council may defer the subdivision, Council may approve without conditions, or Council may approve with conditions. Uh the subdivision can be considered under Policy 4(4)(1) and 4(4)(2) of the Development Plan. If Council approves the subdivision application, I would recommend the following 3 conditions: 1) The payment of the requisite fees as set out by the current Municipal Fee Bylaw. 2) That any zoning variance orders be obtained if required to ensure compliance with the Zoning Bylaw. And 3) That the Public Works will require a digital copy of the subdivision, if approved, geo-reference and in AutoCad 3D 2010 or more recent format. Thank you, Mayor Therrien. **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you, Dan. Uh Mr. Vaags, uh would he be in the audience, uh Dwayne or Rita Vaags? Nobody. Ok. Then with that uh any questions uh from uh Council at all for 6.1? I see none. If we're prepared to vote on that. **Assistant CAO Groenheide** – *Whereas Dwayne and Rita Vaags, the owners of the property legally described as northwest ¼ 2-11-5 EPM have submitted an application to divide the existing approximate 136.73 acres of land to create the following: proposed Lot 1 – 10 acres; residual area – 126.73 acres and Be it Resolved that the application for subdivision #4189-24-7798 be approved subject to the following conditions as read by the Development Officer.* **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you very much. Uh with that being read uh can I get a show of hands, uh for those in support. Council? That is unanimous and it is carried.

7. PUBLIC HEARINGS

Mayor Therrien – Uh then we'll go to the Public Hearing portion. Before we get started on that, I have to read the following. The Public Hearing matters will now be heard under the Planning Act. The Public Hearings process is as follows: 1) The Public notice will be read out uh indicating the intent of the application. Uh 2) The Development Officer will provide a summary of information pertaining to the proposal. 3) Those that wish to make uh representation or speak on a planning matter uh must sign in. You'll be given an opportunity to make a presentation or speak on a matter uh presented before Council. Opportunity for presentation or to speak on a matter will be in the following order: uh Number 1 or Item a) is the applicant will speak or make uha presentation on the proposed application. Uhuh b) is inquiries this will give you the opportunity to ask for clarification on what the planning matter uh is proposed. And Item c) is those in favour, uh this will give those that are in favour the opportunity to speak and uh the last item d) those in opposition, this will give those that are in opposition the opportunity to speak Uh when you begin uh uh speaking uh please state your name and address and a reminder that at all times you are to direct your comments or questions to the Chairperson, myself and last, uh is once the item has had the opportunity to speak, uh everyone, Council may close the public hearing on the matter after which uh further input from the public will not be uh permitted for legal reasons. It is

important that if you wish to speak and be heard on a planning matter, now is the time to uh to make uh representation. Again once I, the Chairperson, close the public hearing, no further discussion will be allowed. Then if we can uh go to uh 7 uh .1 uh that's under the same uh name, Mr. Vaags, a variance of 24 uh 37, Item 7.1.

7.1 Variance 24-37 – 60081 Spruce Road – Rear Yard Setback Accessory Structure – Vaags–Mayor Therrien – Can I get a mover and a second for that, please. Uh Andy and Glen (Kuczynski/Fuhl). **Dan Doucet** – We have Zoning Variance 24-37. The proposal is to permit a rear yard setback of 25 feet instead of the required 50 feet as per Section 84(6)(4) for the existing accessory structures being grain silos within the AG Agricultural Zoning District as a requirement of the subdivision application 4189-24-7798. This is located at 60081 Spruce Road in the northwest ¼ of 2-11-5. Mr. and Mrs. Vaags are requesting Council's approval to allow the reduced rear yard setback for the accessory buildings, a grain silo ... grain silos as listed as a condition of the subdivision and to be in compliance with the Zoning Bylaw. If Council were to consider this variation, I would offer the following condition: Number 1) That this order shall come into force and take effect on the date of the registration of the final certificate of approval on the matter of subdivision application 4189-24-7798. Thank you, Mayor Therrien. **Mayor Therrien**- Thank you. Uh would uh Mr. Vaags uh Dwayne Vaags uh be in the audience. No. Or on line. No. Thank you. Then we'll open it up for uh uh the public uh we'll go to uh uh the monitor first uh for inquiries. If there's a hand up, uh let me know uh Council. I see none. Or in the audience for inquiries only. And I see none. Then we'll open it up uh for uh those in uh in favour or those in opposition, so both categories. On the uh monitor I see nobody and uh in the audience, I see nobody as well. Actually, **gentlemen, can you take your hats off uh in the Chamber there.** **NOTE: Here we go again! The Mayor and his obsession with hats. Odd that at the meeting on September 24th in the Dugald Community Center, men were wearing hats and nothing was said. I guess it is more difficult to bully a crowd of over 100 people than just a handful of people.** And at this uh point uh here uh is there any questions uh from uh Council at all? I see uh no questions. Uh then I'm satisfied that the uh Public Hearing portion has been uh satisfied and no further questions will be allowed. And the matter, this is uh closed to the public. With that, um I'm prepared to uh read the resolution **there**, the variance. **NOTE: Kristy Groenheide can be heard in the background giving instructions.** **Kristy Groenheide**—Oh, ok, sure. Sorry about that. *Whereas the Public Hearing has been conducted under the Planning Act to consider an application filed by Dwane Vaags, owner of the property legally described as the northwest ¼ 2-11-5 EPM located at 60081 Spruce Road, 28E for a variation of the Springfield Zoning Bylaw #08-01 to permit a rear yard setback of 7.62 meters (25 ft.) instead of required 15.24 meters or (50 ft.) as per Section 84.6(4) for the existing accessory structures, silos, within the AG Agricultural General Zoning District as required by subdivision application number 4189-24-7798, and Whereas Council is satisfied that the requirements of Part 6 variations, Variances has been met, Be It Resolved that Variation Order 24-37 be granted to the following conditions as read by the Development Officer.* **Mayor Therrien** - Thank you. Uh with that uh read, uh can I get a show of hands from Council, those in support first. That is unanimous and it is carried.

7.2 Variance 24-36 – 1218 Redonda Street – Advertising Sign Location & Letter Height – FASTSIGNS Winnipeg North - Mayor Therrien –Then we'll go to item 7 uh point uh 2, that a Variance uh 24- uh 36 uh for 1218 Redonda Street under FAST uh SIGNS. Uh a mover and a second, please. Uh Glen and **Patrick** (Fuhl/Therrien). **Dan Doucet** – We have Zoning Variance 24-36. The proposal is to permit a business fascia sign to be erected on the rear wall of the building that is not facing a public street as per Table 33(3)(1) and a business fascia sign having a maximum letter height of .71 meters being 2.32 feet instead of the required .6 meters being 1.97 feet as per Section 33(3)(1) within the MG Industrial General Zoning District located at 1218 Redonda Street in the northwest ¼ of 15-11-4. FASTSIGNS Winnipeg North on behalf of 62455 Manitoba Limited and 62456 Manitoba Limited are requesting Council's approval for the placement of the fascia sign on the rear wall of the industrial building and to have an increased letter height on the front fascia sign. Under Table 33(3)(1) of the Zoning Bylaw, fascia signs are only permitted on the wall facing a public street and under Section 33(3)(1) maximum height for letters is .6 of a meter being 1.97 feet. If Council were to consider this variation, I would offer the following condition: 1) That the applicant obtain the required Municipal permits. Thank you, Mayor Therrien. **Mayor Therrien** –Thank you, uh Dan. Uh then would uh the applicant be in the audience or on line? **I don't see uh nobody** **NOTE: Double negative**, uh identified here. Then uh ... **Nick** - Excuse me. Yes, we are ... **Mayor Therrien** –Ok, I got you as Nick uh **there**. Is there anything you want to add to that, Nick, that our...the Director has uh stated. **Nick** – Uh ya. Uh so I think uh uh our customer uh the name is Sandvik uh uh it's a mining equipment uh uh manufacturer company. Uh their Headquarters is in Sweden uh so they recently uh rebranding out of the branch office uh so the letter size uh is a minimum requirement by their global branding standard so it could be a bit bigger than uh the size required by the law so um but they, they want to uh ask if they can uh follow their uh global branding standard and then we'll get variance permit. Yep, that's what ... that's the reason for the variance permit. **Mayor Therrien** –Ok, well thank you, Sir. We're going to open it up to the uh public uh portion of the Hearing uh **at this point here**. Uh if we have any more questions, we'll ask you if you'd stay on the line there. Uh I'll open it up to the audience for inquiries, both in front or on line. And I see none. Then I'll open it up uh for those uh in favour and/or those in opposition. So on line, I see none and I see none in the audience. Then uh any questions uh from Council uh for Nick? And I see no questions here and I am satisfied **at this time** that I'm **prepared to close** the public hearing and no further discussions will be allowed. **NOTE: In spite of the fact that it was brought to the Mayor's attention that to say "prepared to close the public hearing" does not actually close the Public Hearing, because "prepare" means to get ready to do something, not actually do it, he still persists in keeping to the same wording. Some people just can't accept good advice.** And if we could read the uh variance order, please. **Asst. CAO Groenheide**— *Whereas a Public Hearing has been conducted under the Planning Act to consider an application filed by FASTSIGNS Winnipeg North on behalf of 62455 Manitoba Limited and 62456 Manitoba Limited, owners of the property legally described as Lots 1 and 2, Plan 22039 WTO WLTO within the northwest ¼ 15-11-4 EPM located at 1218 Redonda Street for a variation of Springfield Zoning Bylaw No. 08-01 to be permitted a business fascia sign to be erected on the rear wall of the building that is not facing the public street as per Table 33.3.1 and the business fascia sign having a maximum letter height of .71 meters (2.32 feet) instead of the required .6 meters (1.97 feet) as per Section 33.3(3) within the MG Industrial General Zoning District, and Whereas Council is satisfied that the requirements of Part 6 Variances has been met, Be It Resolved that the Variation Order 24-36 be granted subject to the following conditions: 1) The applicant obtains the required Municipal permits.* **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you. Uh with that uh Variance 24-36 being read, can I get a show of hands from Council, uh those in support first? That is unanimous and it is carried. Sir, um our Planning Branch will uh be getting to you or you can them uh tomorrow uh **there** and if you got any questions. Ok.

7.3 Conditional Use 24-49 – 1071 Oxford Street – Automotive Repairs – Ababa Auto Repairs POSTPONED - Mayor Therrien – And then we'll go to ...7.3 has been postponed uh.

7.4 Conditional Use 24-50 – 54 Matheson Parkway – Automotive Repairs – Dunning - Mayor Therrien – And then we'll go to 7 point uh 4 for uh that's Conditional Use 24-50 for Dunning. Can I get a mover and a seconder for that, please. Uh Mark and Melinda (Miller/Warren). **Dan Doucet** – We have Conditional Use 24-50. Uh the proposal is to permit an automotive equipment and repair shop on the land as per Section 69(3)(4) within the MG Industrial General Zoning District. This is located at 54 Matheson Parkway in the southeast ¼ of 16-11-4. Mr. Dunning is requesting Council's approval to permit an automotive equipment repair business at 54 Matheson Parkway. As indicated his Letter of Intent, the business consists of automotive repairs such as oil changes, engine repairs, uh mechanical repairs, uh inject...injector services, carbon cleaning, ECM reprogramming, AC service, wheel alignments, and vehicle safety inspections. As shown on the site plan provided, there's room for staff and customer parking in the front and room at the rear uh so the customer parking and the staff parking will be in the front and room at the rear of the building will be for the vehicles under repair. Hours of operation would be from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Monday to Friday. Mr. Dunning currently employs 2 additional people. If Council were to consider this Conditional Use, I would offer the following 4 conditions: 1) That our Municipal Building Inspector and Fire Chief conduct a building and fire inspection for the existing industrial building to ensure that all life safety building and fire code requirements are met and that any required Code upgrades and/or infractions be corrected prior to the operation of the business and the issuance of any correspondence for MPI. 2) That a Development Permit be obtained for any signage related to the business. 3) This order shall expire and become null and void on the day the business ceases to be in operation. 4) This order shall not be transferrable to any other owner or lessee. Thank you, Mayor Therrien. **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you, uh Dan. Uh would Daniel or Danny Dunning uh be in the audience or on line. Sir, is there anything that you wanted to add uh from what Mr. Doucet had said? Ok, thank you much uh Sir. Uh we'll open it up to Public uh Hearing uh **there**. Uh **at this point here** we'll open it up for those in favour and uh or those in opposition so in the audience or those on line. And I see nobody's hands up uh **there**. Then we'll open it up for those in favour and uh or those in opposition so in the audience. I see none or on the uh screen or is that a hand there. Nope. Um any questions from uh Council at all **with regards to** this matter? I see none. Uh then I'm satisfied that I can **close** the uh Public Hearing uh portion as all the matter has been heard from uh the people **there** and we're ready to read the uh the variance. **NOTE: At last, he said "can close". He should not have prefaced "close" with "can" which is equivalent to "may" but at least he is progressing.** **Asst. CAO Groenheide**– *Whereas the Public Hearing has been conducted under the Planning Act to consider an application filed by Danny Dunning, lessee the property legally described as Lot 14, Block 1543398 WLTO within southeast ¼ 16-11-4 EPM located at 54 Matheson Parkway for a Conditional Use under the Springfield Zoning Bylaw No. 08-01 to permit an automotive and equipment repair shop, and Whereas Council is satisfied that the requirements of Part 7 Conditional Uses has been met, Be It Resolved that the Conditional Use Order No. 24-50 be granted subject to the following conditions as read by the Development Officer.* **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you very much. And my apologies, it's a Conditional Use uh um, could I get a show of hands with the Conditional Use and 24-50 being read, those in support. That is unanimous and it is carried. Thank you, Mr. Dunning.

7.5 Conditional Use 24-51 – 2011 Plessis Road – Storage Compound - Team Auto Parts - Mayor Therrien –Uh we'll go to 7. uh 5. And that's Conditional Use 24-51 under Team Auto Parts. Uh can I get a mover and a seconder for that, please. Uh Glen and Melinda (Fuhl/Warren). We have Conditional Use 24-51. The proposal is to permit an automotive storage compound on the land as per Section 69(3)(15) with...within the MG Industrial General Zoning District. This is located at 2011 Plessis Road in the southwest ¼ of 17-11-4. Uh Mr. Enns is requesting Council's approval for a ...sorry... Mr. Enns is requesting Council's approval to permit a storage compound being an automotive ...automobile recycling facility on the subject site. Uh Mr. Enns is the owner of Team ... Team Auto Parts located at 2025 Plessis Road which is located directly to the north of this property. As indicated within their Letter of intent, the automotive recycling yard uh Team Auto Parts has been in operation for 32 years. Mr. Enns would like to purchase the subject site and expand his business on the back portion of this lot and lease the existing industrial building along the front portion of the lot. As shown on the site plan provided, a fence would be erected directly to the east of the industrial building to separate the storage compound from the front portion of the lot. If Council were to consider this Conditional Use, I offer the following 3 conditions: 1) That the developer shall provide a drainage plan prepared by a professional engineer for the proposed development as per the Municipal Drainage Policy and shall be submitted to the Public Works for review and approval. Any drainage improvements shall be constructed prior to the issuance of any building permit or site development for this property. 2) All loading service, trash collection, accessory storage areas shall be located to the rear or the sides of the principle building and shall be screened from view from any public roadway and from adjacent sites uh by building walls, landscaping materials, berms, fences, and/or a combination thereof from the ground to the height of a minimum of 2 meters being 6.56 feet. 3) The owner shall obtain the required Provincial Environmental approvals and licenses and shall provide copies to the Municipality. Thank you, Mayor Therrien. **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you, uh Dan. Uh would Mr. Enns uh be in the audience or on line. Thank you, Mr. Enns. Is there anything else you wanted to add from what Dan had said **there**? Ok, thank you Sir. Then we'll open it up to the public uh portion uh here. I'll open it up for Inquiries in the audience or on line. And I see neither. Then I'll open it up uh for those in favour and/or those in opposition, so both categories. Uh in the audience and nobody on line or in the audience uh **there**. Uh any questions uh from Council **with regards to** this matter at all? I see no uh questions. I'm satisfied now that I'm **prepared to close** the public hearing portion **NOTE: I spoke too soon. He is back to being "prepared to close" instead of actually closing the public hearing.** and no further discussion will be allowed and I'll have the uh our Assistant CAO uh read the uh Conditional Use. **Asst. CAO Groenheide**– *Whereas the Public Hearing has been conducted under the Planning Act to consider an application filed by Victor Enns of Team Auto Parts, purchaser of the property legally described as Parcel F, Plan 125569 WLTO* **NOTE: That stands for Winnipeg Land Titles Office.** *in the southwest ¼ 17-11-4 EPM located at 2011 Plessis Road for a Conditional Use under Springfield Zoning Bylaw No. 08-01 to permit a storage compound, and Whereas Council is satisfied that the requirements of Part 7 Conditional Uses has been met, Be It Resolved that Council ... or Conditional Use No. 24-51 be granted subject to the following conditions as read by the Development Officer.* **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you uh very much, uh Kristy. With that being read, Conditional Use uh 24-51, can I get a show of hands, uh those in support? That is unanimous and it is carried. Thank you very much, uh Sir.

8. PLANNING MATTERS – UNSCHEDULED.8.1 By-law 21-25 Springfield Zoning By-law – 2nd Reading- Mayor Therrien – Then at this point uh here, I'm prepared to go to Item uh 8.1, that's uh By-law 21-25 Springfield Zoning By-law, 2nd uh Reading. **NOTE: Well, it is official. The Mayor has proven himself to be lacking in integrity.** Can I get a mover and a seconder for that, uh please. Uh Melinda and Glen (Warren/Fuhl). We'll just wait a few seconds here, guys. Ok uh, who ... does somebody want to start us off on this, our Second Reading here for uh 21-25?

Martin Sandhurst – Uh Mr. Mayor, um suggestion. We're in a little bit of a pickle, to, to uh procedures earlier this evening. I'm going to suggest one of two courses of action. One is a brief recess so that I can advise Council on procedures before proceeding. Uh the other is to just advise you right here, right now and keep going from there. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, if uh our options, is it something that has to be In Camera uh **there** or **Martin Sandhurst** – Well, I mean it's ... we would of course then tell ... I'd be surprising you guys by what I'm about to say. Do you want to be surprised and then we'll come back and tell members of the community who are in attendance um what the uh decision is in terms of how we're going to proceed. This is not about making a decision off the record. **Mayor Therrien** – And the other option to is uh **Martin Sandhurst** – The other option ... **Mayor Therrien** – Go ahead. **Martin Sandhurst** – ... is that I just proceed to provide you uh with my recommendation uh which has changed given procedures from earlier this evening. **Mayor Therrien** – Because of the initial part of it, uh **there**. **Martin Sandhurst** – Yes, Sir. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, I don't like being surprised so maybe we should hear the uh procedures uh **there** from uh Martin, then see what our uh uh those procedures are. And we can do that In Camera. Mark? **Councillor Miller** – Uh Point of Order. Thank you for ... if I could speak ... in other words, it would have to be part of the proceedings ... criteria In Camera. **NOTE: Councillor Miller's audio has suddenly deteriorated and the transmission is broken up and difficult to hear.** So you have to be very specific. This just can't be willy-nilly because you just want us to hear ... legal ... and in terms of that has to be very specific, right, so we can't just ... we can't just uh ask for people to leave and you know, I'm all for the public to hear it. I always am. I don't think this is revolutionary. So again if we don't have that criteria, we could come up to a challenge from the Municipal Board. So why, why it's In Camera when it ought not be so as long as we have justification, ok but ... specific about that. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, there's, there's four ... there's 4 criteria for, for that uh ... **Councillor Miller** – So if you have the section and the number and how it meets that, I'm more than happy. **Martin Sandhurst** – Mr. Mayor, would you like me to respond? **Mayor Therrien** – Yes, please. **Martin Sandhurst** – Ya, there, there could be uh legal implications but uh I don't believe uh it's ... it's anything too risky. On that basis, I'll do my best to tiptoe and proceed if that's uh Council's pleasure. **Mayor Therrien** – Uh legal, there could be legal implications? **Martin Sandhurst** – There could be but I don't believe that it's a big risk. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, if there's any risk uh against the uh RM and it's a legal matter, I would say that it qualifies uh for that. **Martin Sandhurst** – Ya, I was thinking more sidebar, just because the recommendation to Council that's reflected in the Report that was submitted uh I can't uh I cannot recommend that course of action at this time. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok well why don't I think uh cause it's a legal matter, I think we should go into Camera if it could potentially be a legal matter. There'll be no decision made as a result of it, uh **there** so um I would say that we uh we go In Camera. Does that have to be done by a vote uh Kristy? Um so if we're prepared to vote to go In Camera uh on the basis that it could be a legal matter then uh can I get a show of hands, uh that we go into Camera. That'll be Melinda, Glen and **Patrick** (Warren/Fuhl/**Therrien**). Those opposed to Camera? That'll be Mark and Andy (Miller/Kuczynski). Uh then it is the majority rules uh **there** that **at this point uh here** I'm prepared to go into Camera on this uh matter um and get our information and then we'll come back out of Camera. **Assistant CAO Groenheide** – I'll just read out the resolution. *Be it Resolved that this meeting recess to In Camera to discuss legal issues and Be it Further Resolved that all matters shall remain confidential until a Report is made public.* **Mayor Therrien** – Do we have to go and vote again or we already did that? Ok. Thank you.

10. RETURN FROM CLOSED MEETING - Mayor Therrien – Good. Ok, we are back from In Camera at uh 6:50 uh 6 p.m. Can I get a mover and seconder to come out of Camera? Uh Mark and Melinda (Miller/Warren). We will uh ok. **Assistant CAO Groenheide** – *Be it Resolved* that this meeting reconvenes from Closed Meeting. **Mayor Therrien** – Uh thank you. Uh do we have to vote again? Can I get a show of hands, those in support to come out of the In Camera. Unanimous and is carried.

Mayor Therrien – Ok. We had some advice uh from our uh Planning uh Department uh **there** and I'll refer it to uh uh to our Martin uh **there**. Or Dan. **Martin Sandhurst** – As I had mentioned to Council, uh In Camera, I don't believe we can deal with uh Item 8.1 this evening. That is 2nd Reading for Zoning By-law 21-25 since the Minutes of the Public Hearing and in particular the September 24th uh portion continuance are the only official record we have of the alterations that Council put forward during that Public Hearing. As such, recommend that Council defer Item 8.1 until Minutes are approved by a Council resolution. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok. Do we need a resolution on that uh or just ...? **NOTE: I recognize Councillor Fuhl's voice laughing. Then there is silence on the audio file for about a minute.** **Assistant CAO Groenheide** – Ok. So *Be it Resolved that Item 8.1 be deferred until such time that the Special Council Meeting Minutes from September 24th, 2024 are approved.* **Mayor Therrien** – Can I get a show of hands, uh those in support. Well, I thought we already read that. Ok, can I get a motion uh for that uh please. Uh Melinda and Mark (Warren/Miller). And with that uh resolution being read, can I get a show of hands of those in support? **Councillor Fuhl** – I would like to add some discussion to this before we vote on it. I just want clarity because I want to know, this is how it's presented and I know I said back in the Minutes at the last meeting that uh we would bring the um document with all changes in it. Now I realize that it came in pieces so I just want clarity, is this the way it's going to come back the next time or is it going to come back as all ... all in one document, just so I know and all Council is prepared to vote on it the way it's presented now again, or is it going to come back all's in one document? That's what I want clarity on because I'm prepared to vote on this at the time when it can be voted on the way it is or as one document. Because all the pieces are here in front of us and I just want clarity because we have the documentation where the blue is crossed out and the red is the given of the new Zoning Bylaw so we have that piece and then we have the 4 other changes and then the other recommendations that you've made um Martin, I just want to reiterate how this is going to come back to us in the deferred ... for the 2nd Reading. **Martin Sandhurst** – So though the Chair, uh Councillor Fuhl, also in an effort for uh clarity, um you remember that the previous Council gave 1st Reading, uh I believe that was back in 2021 so that's one version of the Bylaw, 2nd Reading they gave I believe in June of 2022, so that's a second version of it, we got a clean copy of all the changes that were reflected in the information sessions that we had back in May, we had that available for Council in June, we had two sessions with Council, one in July, one in August where we spent portions of those sessions talking about uh the Zoning Bylaw and how changes can be introduced and what we cannot do uh with respect to the legislation, and ... and obviously in fairness to anybody who owns property or anybody who resides and votes in this Municipality. We then uh at June Public Hearing, we decided to uh to defer and in the meantime, we put together uh I guess a track changes version which we're calling the red-line and blue-line version because that version that has been on the Website since early September, that shows in red the changes that were made between the 1st Reading and uh pardon me, the Public Hearing and 2nd Reading back in 2022 and in blue are the changes that were made subsequently uh with the intent of reflecting additional objections and because we were having a second Public Hearing, we had the opportunity to make those changes. We also had the opportunity to make changes to the Maps to reflect uh individual zoning parcels that had been rezoned and given Third Reading by this Council under 08-01. We had to bring those forward and we had the opportunity to, under 21-25, because what we sent out in the public notice reflected those Map changes. We're shy one due to timing but all the other ones that Council has dealt with, we don't have to revisit those

ones if Council decides to proceed with the passage of this Bylaw. So um the Municipal Act says to us uh we have to give you guys a complete copy as part of the package for First Readings and Third Readings so that's the requirement. Why didn't we give it to you for Second Reading and part of it is because we didn't want to introduce another version and we certainly didn't want to introduce a version of the Bylaw, so this would be the fifth, that shows the 4 alterations that were made is those ... the Minutes were in draft form leading up to tonight, had not been adopted by Council because it's presuming then that Council um is ok with those before they voted to accept those. So what we would want to do is make sure that, in introducing any new information, that it's clear to Council that what you have now is a clean copy with all of the changes introduced. You've had that since June. The dirty copy, the red-line, blue-line you've had since September. The only difference between those two, and they're the same other than the markups, is the 4 alterations that were made in the Public Hearing. Now it's not unique, it's unusual to introduce changes during the Public Hearing. The only example that I have in Manitoba of that being done, they did not introduce changes in a ... you know, another version ... that would be the schedule to the bylaw, uh until it came forward for 3rd reading and that's when the City of Winnipeg uh went through the process of adopting Zoning Bylaw 200 of 2006 in the Fall of 2007. Informationally we can provide that in that ... in that form but to have another version, there's a concern that should this Council give 2nd Reading and objections be sufficient to force this to the Municipal Board, we were trying to not step on any landmines because procedurally it would be out of step for us to introduce another version before Council has actually adopted the Minutes of the Public Hearing meeting. So with the motion that Councillor Miller put forward tonight, that Council voted on, to defer adoption of those Minutes, the recommendation is to not proceed with 2nd Reading of this Zoning Bylaw because the recommendation is dependent on Council accepting uh the Minutes from the September 24th meeting. **Councillor Fuhl** – Ok, thank you for that clarity. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok, uh any other uh comments or questions from uh Council? Then **what do we do now?** If I can get a vote to uh defer uh this to uh the next Planning Meeting, then. So is that not time? So what, **what are we doing now?** We're just going to defer it. **Do we need a resolution for that?** Uh, ok. Alright. **Has that been read uh there?** Ok, can I get a show of hands, uh Andy? **Councillor Kuczynski** – Mr. Mayor, so those changes were incorporated, what the public was asking for in this version? **Martin Sandhurst** – So, through the Chair, um (ya), Councillor Kuczynski, the ... the clean copy that was in the Agenda uh for the June uh Public Hearing uh the only changes were made to that and um also the version that ... the red-line, blue-line are the same, it just has markups. The only difference between those 2 versions and what we're proceeding with tonight, what Councillor Fuhl had requested, are the 4 alterations that were discussed in order ... in my understanding is for Council to uh respond to objections that had come forward from members of the public during the Public Hearing itself. **Councillor Kuczynski** – But the last meeting that we had, when people requested the changes, that wasn't incorporated in the ... in the Zoning By-law, 21-25. **Martin Sandhurst** – Again, though the Chair, the changes that were put forward um were the Minutes that Council um uh basically produced but of course we produced a record of it, those 4 alterations, the don't become official um the Minutes until they're adopted by Council. **Councillor Kuczynski** – And whose decision was it to just incorporate it, the 4 changes? Council? **Martin Sandhurst** – Through the Chair, um my understanding is that that's what Council had agreed to that night (ya), informally, of course because you're not actually introducing those changes um until obviously you've adopted the Minutes and then you proceed with 2nd Reading. **Councillor Kuczynski** – But that's why I requested in the last Special Council meeting that we have documentation and we read everything that's in it and we see if the uh the changes that the public requested in that meeting are going to be incorporated in that document. And yet we don't have it and why not? When we asked for it, I thought we agreed on it that we were going to have a written copy and going to present it to the public and Council and then we have another meeting with the public that the public are going to be satisfied. Otherwise if we're not going to do that, we're going to end up in the Municipal Board and the Municipal Board is again going to reject that. I think we should try to make sure that everybody is satisfied with the decision that we make, public and Council. and then we go to the Municipal Board and if, if ... so maybe we don't even have to go to the Municipal Board, if we agree on everything because it's very costly and we know that. Why should we spend extra money from ... from our Municipality that, you know, because we're in disagreement again. That was my understanding and that's what the Municipal Board said to us, deal with the public. Let the public understand and if the public is satisfied, then you come to us for approval. **Mayor Therrien** – Well, that's ... that's what we're here ... that's what we're doing now. We're going to defer this and get the written uh document uh here, um we have heard ... heard from the public and we had public hearings on this uh so we're already there now. It's just that we can't do the Second Reading now um because these uh ... the legal uh option that was presented uh to us so on our next time that we come together, we'll have those 4 ... it's all in our documents here anyways, the 4 changes, uh or the amendments uh **there** and uh it's well documented that **there** so uh you've got the same package as I, so everything is in there, so now we just have to wait until everything is, is prepared and uh it'll be in a ... in a in a form that we can look at together and then we're prepared to uh to look at it at that time **there**. Mark? **Councillor Miller** – Uh thank you, Mr. Mayor. I think you missed what Councillor Kuczynski said. My understanding ... is that you make changes that we heard at that meeting, uh make them available to the public to provide further input, not just unilaterally uh embrace these or adopt them. Uh what's withwithhaving a little further consultation, I mean it's one meeting, perhaps, right. I just don't know why you're so resistant to engaging with the public and hearing their feedback. We want to make it clear as Councillor Kuczynski says, otherwise we're setting us up for potential failure, obviously the Municipal Board challenge, so I'm not sure why you want to go down that path when we can probably appease the public and appease our wishes in this document too. I know we don't want to delay and certain people are ... are ambitious and want to see this document through and I do too to be frank but I want to make sure it's right and accurate and embraces what we've heard. That's all. ?????? – Ok, we've already had the public portion uh the public hearing portion and I think everybody's concerned **Councillor Fuhl** – Unmute yourself. **Mayor Therrien** – That's funny? Ok. Uh so I've stated everything uh **there**, I think it's uh clearly uh unless anybody else has any comments uh we can uh ... we can uh vote on this and wait for the documentation. **Councillor Kuczynski** – Mr. Mayor, I just want to point out, it's not up to you to make the statements, it's up to the Council. You are only one vote.... **Mayor Therrien** – interrupting We're going to vote, that's what we're going ... **Councillor Kuczynski** – ... here. **Mayor Therrien** – ... to do. **Councillor Kuczynski** – No but you said "we, we, we ..." you didn't talk with us. You should be talking I, I, I because we are here ...5 of us here who are going to make that decision and not only "we". **Mayor Therrien** – So it's ... so we can make that vote now, alright so ... **can we read that again.** **Ya. Assistant - CAO Groenheide** – *Be it Resolved that Item 8.1 be deferred until such time that the Special Council Meeting Minutes from September 24th, 2024 are approved.* **Mayor Therrien** – Ok, so we can vote on that. Can I get a show of hands of those ... **Councillor Kuczynski** – No, Mr. Mayor, no, it should be Special Council Meeting and we should designate that. It cannot be again in a Planning Meeting that people cannot participate. I object to that. We have to have meeting ... special meetings until everybody's satisfied and then we're going to go to the vote. We cannot just ... just because we're going to remove the public from ... from making the decision and we're going to go to the Municipal Board, it's going to be very costly. **Mayor Therrien** – Ok you've said that already uh Andy and now we're prepared to ... to vote on this now. Um we have ... we've already had the public hearing portion so now we can vote on the um what was read there.

... **Councillor Kuczynski** – No, we didn't. Who said that we have to finish the public hearing portion. **Mayor Therrien – interrupting** Cause that's where we're at. Go ahead, Glen. **Councillor Fuhl** – We closed the Public Hearing. The Public Hearing has been done. It's closed. I said that in the Minutes. If you went, go back to the Minutes, I said it's been closed. So let's go back, get the recording and get the Minutes and then everybody can see what I said and then go forward. So let's defer it in my opinion. Thank you.

11. DEFER ITEM 8.1 BY-LAW 21-25 – SPRINGFIELD ZONING BY-LAW – 2ND READING-Mayor Therrien – That's ... that's what we're doing. So if...just ...ok, enough, alright. So let's vote on this. Can I hear it again, please. **Assistant - CAO Groenheide** –*Be it Resolved that Item 8.1 be deferred until such time that the Special Council Meeting Minutes from September 24th, 2024 are approved.* **Mayor Therrien** – Can I get a show of hands, those in support? That's uh Melinda, Glen and Patrick (Warren/Fuhl/Therrien). Those opposed? Mark and Andy (Miller/Kuczynski). And it is passed.

Mayor Therrien – Um and I guess we're now back into another uh Closed Meeting. Can I get, for Item No. 9, can I get a mover and a seconder to ... to go into Closed Meeting, uh please. Uh Melinda and uh **Patrick** (Warren/**Therrien**). Can I ...no go ahead. **Assistant - CAO Groenheide**–*So Be it Resolved that this meeting recess to In Camera to discuss legal issues and Be it Further Resolved that all matters shall remain confidential until a Report is made public.* **Mayor Therrien** – Thank you. Can I get a show of hands uh to go in Closed uh Meeting? That is unanimous.

12. COSED MEETING

13. RETURN FROM CLOSED MEETING - Mayor Therrien –Uh at 7:28 p.m. we're back from In Camera. Can I get a mover and a seconder to come back from In Camera. Uh Andy and uh Glen (Kuczynki/Fuhl). **Assistant - CAO Groenheide** –*Be it Resolved that this meeting reconvenes from Closed Meeting.* **Mayor Therrien** – Show of hands in support. Unanimous and is carried. We have one resolution we would like to uh read as part of our In Camera session **there**and then we can vote on it. **Assistant - CAO Groenheide** –Wait a second, here, please. **Mayor Therrien** – Oh sorry. Can I get a mover and seconder for the uh resolution. Glen and uh Melinda (Fuhl/Warren). **Assistant - CAO Groenheide** –*Whereas Conditional Use Order 24-43 was issued with specific conditions and the applicant has failed to comply with the conditions imposed, Be it Resolve that the Council hereby authorize the removal of the compost within 10 calendar days or the Municipality will have the authority to have the compost material removed from the subject site and all related expenses shall be borne by the owners.* **Mayor Therrien** –Can I get a show of hands, uh those in support of uh the resolution as read. It is unanimous and is carried. Um and this is the last uh item on the docket uh docket ... last item on the Agendathere. We'll move to Item 11, that's Adjournment uh **there**. **NOTE: Well, that was the Item number of the first adjournment.**Can I get a mover and a seconder for that. Uh Melinda and Andy (Warren/Kuczynski). Uh **and at this point here** we can uh um close uh this uh hearing uh or this uh Agenda **there** at uh 7:30 p.m. Thank you.

14. ADJOURNMENT