

SPRINGFIELD POLICE BOARD MEETING
December 8, 2021

WITHOUT PREJUDICE (E&OE)

Councillor Peter Williams is in attendance at this meeting in spite of the fact that he has missed ALL Council meetings since October 28th, 2021, a total of six, even though he could have participated via Zoom.

NOTE: I used to be a staunch supporter of the Springfield Police Service when it was an independent body dedicated to serve Springfield residents. I felt ratepayers were receiving value for their municipal tax dollars which fund this Service directly. It had its limitations but it was ours. IT IS NO LONGER OURS as the Chair of the Police Board, herself a former RCMP officer, Mayor Fell, and the R.C.M.P. Oakbank Detachment have arrived at an agreement whereby members of the Detachment can dispatch the Springfield Police Service to their calls. In other words, the Springfield Police Service is being used by the R.C.M.P. to cover the shortfall in the number of members assigned to the RCMP Oakbank Detachment. For at least the years in which I served as Councillor, Council met with the RCMP at the Association of Manitoba Municipalities Convention annually to request additional RCMP coverage. I understand that when Steinbach was experiencing the same problem several years ago, they strongly lobbied the RCMP Headquarters and additional members were assigned to their area. The RCMP are the official police of the Province of Manitoba rural municipalities. I believe this service is funded through federal and provincial taxes. Therefore as I see the situation now, ratepayers of the RM of Springfield are paying in their property taxes for the Springfield Police Service which is being used as an auxiliary of the RCMP Oakbank Detachment, responding to calls that are directed to the RCMP for which they pay through their federal taxes. In my opinion, the Springfield Police Service should be discontinued/disbanded which would then require the RCMP Oakbank Detachment, which also serves Beausejour and Brokenhead, to provide an appropriate number of members to cover their responsibilities in the areas they serve.

Chair Wowchuk - 7:02 calling the meeting to order. Any changes or... Is this recording, Tyler. Ok um any changes or modifications to the agenda? Can I have approval of the Agenda, please. First and second (Michael Breward heard talking and laughing in the background). Uh all in favour? Approval of the Minutes from September 8, 2021 Any additions or modifications? **Michael Breward** - Oh I can't technically move....I think I can vote on it....but since I'm not here....technically according to Roberts Rules I can't motion.... I wasn't here for it. **Chair Wowchuk** - Any modifications or changes to the Minutes? Can I have a firster, second? All in favour? UMMMM Reports

REPORTS

4.1 Financial Report - Michael Breward (laughing) -That's hysterical. So here we go. As per...December...so basically...I'm not going to start with Revenue because for a couple of reasons. One it's not about the revenue .. um you know policing is not about revenue, it's about cost, if you're doing it based on revenue centre you're doing it wrong...(can't make out what he is saying .. something about hating computers, half a mo, and "fussy, fussy, fussy"). So basically as per usual I went through because of this ongoing issue with the overtime that's directly offset by MPI. What I'd like to do as my wife once said...they have to set it up with revenue and the cost like this...well, my wife presented... not like this...uh because that's just the financial rules and as my wife once said in her MBA class...sometimes accounting gets in the way and uh when it comes to financial accounting I think that's true in terms of this presentation so I have to rejig it and uh because really and truly the revenue from uh MPI and COVID is really a costs offset, it's not so much revenue as a cost offset....so what I do is I take out the wages uh... take out the wages, the overtime wages related to MPI and COVID and when you do that we are actually 14.3 percent remaining of the budget and that number under there at 8.3 um presuming we have months left and that just came in December 3rd so that's a reasonable assumption...presuming we have a month left then we would have 8.3% to spend and we've got 6% more than that so rough order of magnitude uh that's about (cough) \$30,000 bucks is when you rejig it to actually be useful management reporting, management accounting, um that's really what this tells me so um 14.3 left to spend in essentially one month and 8.3 which represents 8.3 ..we have..we should when you look at it this way we're going to come in under budget about \$30,000 give or take by my estimation. **NOTE: About \$30,000...give or take...I don't think I would want this person managing my accounts. He should know to the last penny where they are situated in terms of the 2021 budget.** Now even if you don't want to do it that way, and you want to look at the regular way, that is fine. We can do that and when you look at that, um and this is just the Police, this does not, I don't believe I went in the Cadet Program or uh the Police Board or anything like that, uh so just the Police spending um Police Services spending 9% left and 8.3 so we should come in, all things being equal, we should come in under budget because per the uh the way the (clears throat) the way a lot of the yearly costs, they're recognized right up front rather than spread them over 12 months. So really and truly the only thing left and this came through as well with the...we were talking to Jody...about 20 maybe 26,000 worth of regular wages. So \$26,000. worth of regular wages, that means we have \$24,000. under budget even if we look at it the way it's presented but ..as I said again, um I prefer to look at it the other way. Regardless of which way you slice and dice it, um we're looking good, we should come under budget. Um it's just a question of how much under budget in terms of if there might be additional spending that can be um attributed to this year so (clear throat) and we can talk more about Stephen and myself ..um sorry myself as this is formal uh have a meeting next week so I'll just set the time that uh we are going to get together and see, kind of come up with an estimate of where we're going to end up anyway...so... ..bottom line financially we're doing quite well or more to the point Stephen and his folks are doing well, they are monitoring the budget. I'm reporting after the fact so uh Stephen and his...Chief Hitchon and his crew uh deserve kudos for that. So I'm going to move up ..I'm not going to go line by line item because I think you know I'd like to do that at the end of the year uh for all a bunch of reasons I think going through the year the key thing unless anything really stands out...the key thing is to look at the uh the bottom line as it were. Uh come on, don't do this.... I'm just going to scroll up to Revenue for a second so I'm not even going to look at uh obviously we have Provincial revenue, there's a Conditional Grant, there's the Police Enforcement Grant for COVID which has already been recognized uh as a cost offset, the same thing with the MPI Revenues and Grants so I'm just going to look at the total fines situation and again I'm looking at the same logic, if there's a month left, I know it doesn't work exactly like that because it's up and down you know more in the summer, less in the winter etc. but 9.2 is what we're supposed to collect and there's 8.3 left so and it's a bit of a, that's not exactly right because the recoverable costs are in there and now this again would be a cost offset that we would pull out down below anyway so I'm not going to get too convoluted with that

but if you take that out then you're only about \$2,000. short as opposed to the right now \$6,900. and also with that, so, so if we uh I think there was some more numbers we were talking about, it should in, ... **Chief Hitchon - (does not identify himself)** We have not received half of Octobers, Novembers and Decembers...we're looking between \$12 and \$15,000. in fines coming in yet. **Michael Breward** - Yes, so and I did some math in my head and my apologies, I can't remember exactly what I looked at but my guess is, we might be a couple of percentages short here which again isn't huge money uh especially considering it's also got the recoverable costs in there which again would be an offset down low so the one thing I will say is that if we do fall short, it's just because of the timing of when we're receiving some of the fine revenue **NOTE: Does our Police Service not put a time limit on when one can pay their fines? Is there not a significant penalty if one does not pay within the time allotted?** uh and normally I don't think this will be such an issue next year but because as you can see last year we didn't have a ton of fines um because accounting says you have to be conservative so even though we know we're going to collect a substantial amount of fines, because we don't know if they're going to appeal it, and if it's going to go through the Courts etc. we can't recognize it until they actually pay us so in other words, uh if we're falling short because we won't recognize, we might not have recognized you know half of November and all of December. Uh next year I don't anticipate this being a problem if we keep the same thing because if you do the same thing year after year it really doesn't matter but it's just because of this year we're, the police force is obviously doing more in the fine regard so it's not a hundred percent accurate picture. The bottom line is the fines are pretty much on target, and if we do fall a couple of percentage points short on \$7,500, \$75,000, that's not a huge deal and I would also point out that, well like I said five thousand dollars is recoverable and should really be a cost offset so I have no issues with any of the fine revenue. So bottom line, we're doing fine with the fine revenue, we're doing fine with the costs and we should come under budget um nicely barring anything unforeseen. The question remains how much under budget and like I said Steve, Chief Hutcheon, Hitchon and I will look at that next week and come March, hopefully will have uh a reasonable end result, it would have to be audited and then I'll go and look at some of the individual items and do an assessment of where we're overspent or underspent and why but that's about it for the Financial Report. **NOTE: About time considering what he said could have been said in 3 short sentences. 1. Some of our financial information has not yet been received for the 2021 year. 2. There are fines that have not yet been collected that will offset any current amount over budget. 3. The completed and hopefully audited 2021 Financial Report will be ready for the March Police Board meeting. Considering his regular job was and possibly still is teaching university level students accounting, I often wonder.** **Chair Wowchuk** - Any questions for Michael? Excellent job. **NOTE: Why is she commending Mr. Breward when all that was needed was a report of the to date financial standing for 2021.** **Michael Breward** - Thank you. I love doing this. Can you tell? **NOTE: Obviously he is enamored with his own ability to deliver a lengthy speech that has no substance.** This is one thing that gets me in terms of... **Chair Wowchuk** - Uh, the Police Service Report...any questions or comments before we go to Chief Hitchon ...ok Can I get a motion for these reports? **NOTE: What reports? I only heard the Financial Report which is short on actual details. I did not hear a Police Service Report.** **Michael Breward** - Accept as information. **NOTE: What information? Nothing has been made public. All they talked about was fines in general, nothing about their overall expenses or the type of calls they receive or the actual numbers for the period in question.** **Chair Wowchuk** - Can I get a motion to accept this Financial Report and Police Service Report...first, seconded...all in favour, I'm going to move down to Unfinished Business now, Strategic Plan.

4.2 Police Service Report

5. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

5.1 Strategic Plan - Chair Wowchuk - Is it finished? Why? What more needs to be done ..or **NOTE: At the June meeting a commitment was made that Breward and Hitchon would get together to discuss the Strategic Plan: 6. Unfinished Business 6.1. Strategic Plan Michael BREWARD will meet with Chief HITCHON to discuss the strategic plan before the Board's next meeting. However at the September meeting there was no mention of the progress of these discussions, only the removal of one item on it: 5.2. Strategic plan School resource officers have been removed from the strategic plan. The partnership section has been slightly modified to reflect the secondment agreement. When I was the Chair of the Police Board, I assigned Mr. Breward the task of a Risk Assessment every year and he never produced one. Instead he claimed it had to be part of a broader Strategic Plan. However again he never produced any results. Mr. Breward has been on the Police Board 6 plus years and thus far has given the public nothing but countless excuses while collecting his quarterly remuneration.** **Councillor Williams** - I mentioned some time ago, Police Performance Indicators, Michael and I were going to get together and chat about it but...I also did recognize that this may be part of the Strat Plan. **NOTE: I then hear Breward talking but not what he is saying..something about next week and finish it off and Williams says "ok".** **Chair Wowchuk** - So you want the PPIs into the Strat Plan? **Councillor Williams** - Well, I don't know because I don't know how it fits in here with services and performance. **Michael Breward** - We could ..there is some financial aspects we could put in, financial aspects and you know what if...we talk by phone and agree to meet about the PPIs then we can talk to, when I talk to Hitchon about Financial Reports we can also talk about some of those metrics. **NOTE: A conversation then occurs between Williams and Breward which cannot be clearly heard on the audio file. This is not very professional. Further they should be speaking into the microphones presuming they are even turned on.** **Councillor Williams** - Are you going to be talking by ... **Michael Breward** - No, I ...because we're going to be looking at it by numbers... **Councillor Williams** - ... But how do you measure whether the community is safer? ... We can't. We have to do it some imaginative way. **Michael Breward** - If some of it were a slam, dunk in terms of financial constraints and we can look at, you know, Facebook, ... followers on Facebook, we've got to be careful with something like that because it can be easily manipulated and/or blindsided because people don't care basically. For me, I...but I'm not on Facebook at all... **Chair Wowchuk** - Cause I know there was some back time ...being amended, have those been amended and we're just waiting for the PPIs then? **Michael Breward** - I believe so. Unfortunately I wasn't here at that ..September **Chair Wowchuk** - Back in March, ah June meeting. **Michael Breward** - ...I'd have to look back. **Councillor Williams** - Can we get a copy of that? **Michael Breward** - I sent out...that's it...I sent out, I did send out an amended one I think for the September meeting, I'd have to check on that. **Chair Wowchuk** - Is that September... **Michael Breward** - Ya. Yes, yes now it's going back to... **Chair Wowchuk** - Ya, ok...and you weren't at the September meeting... **Michael Breward** - No I was not at the September meeting. (Background conversation) **Michael Breward** - Ya, he can't meet on the 17th... **Chief Hitchon** - My son's flying in ... I've got to go pick him upbesides that I'm ... ok **Councillor Williams** - I can pick him up. I'm looking for some stories. **Chief Hitchon** - No you can't pick him up. **NOTE: Then they all laugh.** **Chair Wowchuk** - So then can we say it is still in progress and in March it will be wrapped up. **Michael Breward** - Yep. **NOTE: I**

should say so. Mr. Breward was charged with this project at least 3 or 4 years ago when I was on the Police Board and he always managed to have excuses as to why there was yet as no tangible progress. Hopefully this time he will produce. Chair Wowchuk - Ok....It would just be nice to have a final one for including when I send off the Annual Report to Andrew Minor. Michael Breward - ..we could do that. Chair Wowchuk - I have to the end of March. Ok so that means at the next meeting in March we can finalize it and then I can just send it all into him. NOTE: Again, Councillor Williams is speaking but not into the microphone so I cannot understand what he is saying. I heard "there's another aspect I've been dragging my feet on" ...the words "governance", "qualities we were to put together", "on page 120 to 22.. principles". Chair Wowchuk - So you'll get that ready for March? Mr. Breward seems to think this is funny as I can hear him laughing and then saying sorry. (Someone's phone is ringing and I hear Councillor Williams say "I hate getting calls from a private number".) Chair Wowchuk - Ok thanks for the update on that. NOTE: Update??????? The other Unfinished Business is Springfield...Springfield Police Service... Procedural Manual ...so. NOTE: I seem to recall Ms. Wowchuk criticizing me publicly in the media when I was the Chair of the Police Board and stating I lacked "leadership" yet now that she is the Chair she has done no better in holding Mr. Breward's feet to the fire in completing the project assigned to him during my tenure.

5.2 SPB Policy and Procedure Manual - Greg Penner - Number 6.1...Springfield Police Service. And 5.2 is us. So I'm just missing like the Communication Plan, I guess, we have to report has been worked into our Policy and Procedure Manual and then I just need that key Governance Principle policy. And then it will be done. Chair Wowchuk - Ok. So I was confused on that. Good. I'm going to give an Update too for the Annual Report. Um moving on to Business.

6. NEW BUSINESS

6.1 SPS Policies - 6.1.1 Covid-19: 6.1.2 Body Worn Cameras - Uh Springfield Police Service being 2 policies in regards to COVID 19 and Body Worn Cameras...previous to the meeting I trust everyone has scanned it. Any questions to Chief Hitchon in regards to COVID 19 policy? Greg Penner - It fits with the RCMP whatever they're doing? Chief Hitchon - Identical. The RCMP are a little bit behind us, actually but yes we're following the RCMP policy that we have in Selkirk as it relates to the timing and everything. Chair Wowchuk - The RCMP Policy, is that national or provincial? The RCMP Policy, is that Provincial or National or are you saying that's all across Canada ...and that's the one you're following ... Chief Hitchon - Yes. The dates that we used were the dates we got from ...we asked for. Chair Wowchuk - Any other questions? Can I have a "first" to accept ...you know what, I think that's a good idea, accept both of those.... Um the other one is the body armor, no, body cameras. NOTE: Again I can hear one individual laughing. Have you been drinking? Um before we go In Camera is there any questions to Chief Hitchon in regards to that? Go ahead, Peter. Councillor Williams - So the RCMP are doing a pilot in D Division, wherever that is ...V for victory ... Chair Wowchuk - That would be the Chief Hitchon - "I have no idea". NOTE: Once again and I recognize the voice, Michael Breward is laughing hilariously. Councillor Williams - It must be small. It's only a ..about 200...I'm sorry... Chair Wowchuk - It is Nunavit.. Chief Hitchon - Ya ... body worn cameras they're probably testing the cold weather... We had to put ours in the freezer to make sure they work now. NOTE: Conversation between Williams ("how cold is the freezer" and "they just started this pilot in November") and Hitchon that cannot all be clearly heard. Cameras have been used for 20 years. 1996 the RCMP ..military and RCMP started using cameras in 1996 but they were not, ...they were done at unit level. It's only now that the Federal Government has gone out and said that we want all agencies to have them..individual cars and on bodies. Chair Wowchuk mentions 1PP? was using them before the RCMP. Chief Hitchon - 95...the problem is the storage of the ...all that's evidence...it's the room...they've got to hold that for 7 years. That's the issue we're running into ...it's expensive, it's costly ...and that's the problem...you can't ...it has to be stored locally... Councillor Williams - So that is one of my questions. You say um to be located on the RM of Springfield's ..server. Chief Hitchon - Yes ours is ...Clear Concepts which is local. Chair Wowchuk - But we have access to that. Chief Hitchon - It's actually ..we're downloading it on our hard drives. We're working with Andrea right now to get proper servers in our building. ...All our stuff that we have, ...it will be two stand alone systems, one is going in the vault.....completely standard and not hooked up to the Internet or anything cause we can't do that. Councillor Williams - I have another question about that.. Section 5 ..."that should be PWB ...first sentence under technical...certain times that can be activated due to circumstance of legal privilege, what does that mean? Chief Hitchon - There is certain times... we cannot be ...as I was saying to the Chairman, like country of residence...if I'm going for a criminal offense, yes...but if I'm just going to talk to you, I have to have permission to come in your house and at the same time I have permission for my camera cause I can't just start recording inside your house if I'm there for a 911 call that a crime has taken place, that's the only way I can go in. Councillor Williams - I have some questions about FIPPA and you and I have talked about it, I think at the moment the Act does not designate these searches.... Chief Hitchon - So we follow the old criteria. We follow the FIPPA and also since the Mounties.agreement...federal they fall under ...Access to Information, it's a federal one so if somebody wants something...so there are two rules we have to follow, the federal one and the Provincial one ..FIPPA's Provincial in this case. Councillor Williams - I'm concerned about access to information going through ...especially in light of the review of the Police Services Act where they talk about ...independence of the Police Board, I'm wondering if that's something we can bring up with Andrew Minor ...I know there are other police agencies that are concerned about FIPPA requests, complaints, going through the municipal administration. Chief Hitchon - Cause if somebody asks us for a Police Report, they have to go through the Federal System for us. We put everything on Pros, PROS is Federal for the RCMP so FIPPA does not pertain to that Access to Information ...PPIs..that's the Feds. Our training would fall under FIPPA ..I actually fall under FIPPA. Councillor Williams - Ok right at the end of the section, Section 7 Storage Tracking and Disclosure. Law Enforcement Disclosures cannot be directed to the RM of Springfield where an application can be made under FIPPA.. Chief Hitchon - Ya the body camera stuff... Councillor Williams - So maybe we should put that, make that more specific. More importantly it's going to be throughNOTE: Much of what is being said on the audio file is inaudible. Chief Hitchon - The body worn ...I can do that. So before we release anything like that, I'm going to courts on what grounds cause once it goes into evidence,...we have to get permission from the Crown to release evidence..so before I release anything I'm going to make sure the Crown says "yea" or "nay" because they could have a huge ...just the volume down the road that's requested for it ...yah, he explained a lot of things. I'm going to ask Crown before I do anything. I'm not going to ...because Crown could say No ...the Crown could stop it. NOTE: Councillor Williams and Chief Hitchon are speaking in the background but the recorder is not picking it up enough to hear what is being said. Councillor Williams - Ok well it's just..we want to make sure there's something... Chief Hitchon - We want to hold off then approving this one until we get the.... Councillor Williams - Um it can always be amended ...but if you see something in there. I'm fine with the essence of it. NOTE: Again, cannot hear specifically what he and Hitchon are saying. Chief Hitchon - What our goal is is to make sure we are wearing it on a regular basis, all the

security... that they will persist..protecting our members twice already by wearing cameras if they don't wear it.....now they're held accountable but not ...**Chair Wowchuk** - Any other questions? Good questions, Peter...any other questions....then I guess we need a motion to adopt the policies. Uh if there's amendments to be made after regarding the February agreements...then I can just send them back to Steve and he can do that. Can I get the agreement of everyone.....ok. Can I have a motion then...(none heard)...first, second....thank you. **Michael Breward** says something laughing and then **Chair Wowchuk** - All those in favour? (More laughing)

6.2 2022 Meeting Dates - Chair Wowchuk - If we're going to stick with the second Wednesday of March, June, September and December, same time 7 p.m. we are looking at March 9th, June 8th, September 14th, and December 14th I believe, Greg you should.... **Greg Penner** - Yes, that's right. **Michael Breward** then goes on about when he is or is not available even though no one asked. **Chair Wowchuk** - Ok we'll keep those meeting dates for then.

6.3 Meeting with Andrew Minor - Chair Wowchuk - Just a follow up there. I think I e-mailed everyone but I did have a meeting with Andrew Minor back in September. It was very related to the Program so progress the Police Board has made over the last uh 2-3 years as well as very umhe's also pleased with uh how the Springfield Police Service has progressed under the new reign of Chief Hitchon so kudos to you Chief Hitchon for um ...conducting the Police Service ...and being able to take it more to the next secondment agreement. Um that being said, when I speak of Andrew Minor, that's also coming from the Department of Justice um so they complement our leadership on the Board and uh relationship with Steve Hitchon on that so that's excellent. Thank you one and all. **NOTE: Andrew Minor was formerly an RCMP officer. Of course, he would be pleased with what has transpired which is that the Springfield Police Service, paid for directly by taxpayer dollars, is now being used by the RCMP to respond to calls to the RCMP. This enables the Oakbank Detachment to operate with possibly less RCMP officers than are required to cover their area which goes north into Brokenhead and east into Reynolds and covers the town of Beausejour. In short, our Springfield Police Service are responding to RCMP calls and according to my analysis of the Police Reports included with the first Council meeting of the month, not always in Springfield. Some calls are to Transcona for example.**

6.4 SPS Community Calendar - Chair Wowchuk - The Springfield Police Service Community Calendar which I'd like to remind the public and the Board that the priorities that we ...with Chief Hitchon was traffic enforcement, community service, uh including police presenceand bylaws. Um and I really don't foresee that changing in the future ...to be the next thing on the agenda items um but because of COVID of course. Chief Hitchon's hands were tied and now he's come up with this Community Calendar. Did you want to comment on this or say anything about it. **Chief Hitchon** - Sure. I can do that. So we decided the best way to do something is to put it on paper, the times, dates, locations, and events so people know ahead of time so we've drafted it as policy ..this calendar we will be submitting on things approved by yourself to the Clipper, to the Facebook page and I'll get the RM to submit it so people understand what we're going to do with the community reference, basically towards children. It's very heavy on kids and the um community policing in general. So the main thing that we're looking at there are the Child Education Program which starts on the 7th and 8th of January which is a weekend. You'll see a bunch of different things that we're doing. The first couple of months we did this and the ones I bring your attention to is, there's 3 different dates on the 15th of Jan, 22nd of January, 5th of Feb, we have a meeting of the Chief, myself, Constable Zillman and putting a package together, we're going to meet at 3 different locations and discuss anything that's been public knowledge already so if people have any questions they want to ask us, we'll do a presentation on them, we will do the questions, answer the questions, at the same time when we talked to Angie, she's going to take the minutes for us. So every question that gets asked, we'll have the Minutes so we can present that back to the Police Board come March so there's no um ..you guys aren't blindsided by it. **NOTE: Is our Chief of Police advocating having large public meetings during a pandemic? Surely with the risk of COVID 19, he of all people should be restricting group gatherings.** **Michael Breward** - I've got a quick question. Is this going to be on the web site, this.. **Chief Hitchon** - Yes, once it's approved here I'll make sure everybody has a copy of it ... Emergency Services from the area and their different functions. Everybody has said Yes right from RCMP to EMS, Fire uh all the different stakeholders so we're looking at um being more interactive toward children... issues getting in the schools so they bring the kids to us. **Chair Wowchuk** - Are the Auxiliary Members going to be involved in the, any of these programs? **Chief Hitchon** - Yes, the Auxiliary Members are involved in a lot of stuff, we have new Auxiliary member, Tyler who started about I would say around, let me see nowTyler **NOTE: Once again Michael Breward is heard laughing in the background.** and uh COVID .. no the Auxiliaries are involved in everything especially the ones with the kids so that's why we had to do uh ..security check on the last member ... and it's gone to the RCMP already. They actually will have it back in a week. **Chair Wowchuk** - Are you doing a security check on all auxiliaries now? **NOTE: When I was Chair of the Police Board, we also did Security Checks on members of the Police Board.** **Chief Hitchon** - Yes. I talked to Rayleen on that. She actually recommended it. We set it up two weeks ago. Just because we're dealing with kids ages 5 and up... so we're looking at anybody that volunteers, like we have to make sure it's done. **Chair Wowchuk** - And they would have a ? check too? **Chief Hitchon** - What we're doing is the guys are just doing it through Oakbank Detachment. It's just the one we have to get... has been given a copy of this already. Just ask ? sent it out on Friday ... the Board Hearing. **Councillor Williams** - What's involved with ? security? **Chief Hitchon** - So before ? the Canadian Forces, he was big on how predators are using Facebook um using all the different snap chats and all that going after kids ... and how a lot of it, most of it is young females that are putting their own pictures out there..pictures that are child porn basically so he is doing a thing up on how to recognize if your child is doing this type of stuff so that's what... We've had people already ask us about it so that's why he decided to do a presentation on it. **Chair Wowchuk** - So you'd like us to do a motion on it? **Chief Hitchon** - Ya. I would appreciate it. That way ... **Chair Wowchuk** - Any further questions? **Michael Breward** - The cyber security, is that open to the whole community as well? **Chief Hitchon** - Anybody that has ...so if you signed on to the Power Point. we're staying out ... The reason we're staying out of this building ...firehall is that is shows it's Springfield Police led, not the Municipality led. **Chair Wowchuk** - Now these amendments or changes to the ? are going to be updated on the Web Site. **Chief Hitchon** - That's is correct. As we know, nothing is set in stone unless ... **Chair Wowchuk** - Or if you want to add to it like if Chicken Days comes back or stuff like that you can add something to that as well. **Chief Hitchon** - So this is stuff we're doing above and beyond, Folk Fest will be in here, Folk Fest is not in here, Folk Fest is not even ... **Chair Wowchuk** - Ok, ok I'm sorry ok. **Chief Hitchon** - This is stuff that we dreamed up as Springfield Police to do Community Policing. **Chair Wowchuk** - So you're going to be doing more community policing for other events. **Chief Hitchon** - The Folk Fest is coming up, we know we have Chicken Days, there's a couple of other events that uh we know of probably coming in the fall but we just don't have the times or dates or any of the details yet. **Chair Wowchuk** - I just wanted that clarified that this is just not the only community policing you're doing , you're also going to be partaking in other community events that come across,

whether it's ... COVID ..As things hopefully relax ..like pancake breakfasts or whatever that you'll also be attending as a community.. **Chief Hitchon** - We've been asked by a couple of churches already...youth stuff this Spring... **Chair Wowchuk** - Asked for motion to accept the Springfield Police 2022 Community Calendar .. firster, seconder, all those in favour. Ok

7. Correspondence - Chair Wowchuk - I did get some correspondence uh I'm going to want some of your input as well, Steve, on this topic. It's been, I've got e-mails on it from a couple of residents so obviously it must be a concern and that is with the Secondment Agreement, so I just want to talk on the Board's perspective about the Secondment Agreement and then I'm going to pass the floor over to Chief Hitchon so he can state how it's working operational. Um this common agreement is basically a partnership with the Springfield Police Service and the local RCMP Detachment that was signed off by the Municipality uh Mayor and Council uh and with the RCMP and basically it turns into a partnership. The um what has that, how's that benefitted the Springfield Police Service? They were working in a very dangerous environment previous with no monitoring of where they were, if they stopped for example, if they stopped somebody for speeding, there was no check in to any uh dispatch. There's no monitoring. They used their cell phones and called Winnipeg Police Service for a CPIC check. This was highly inefficient and as a retired police officer, that's a very dangerous working situation. **NOTE: As mentioned, I was once Chair of the Police Board. Our Springfield Police Service were originally engaged mainly in by-law work. It has only been in the last few years that Springfield Police did a lot of Highway Traffic Act violation work with the exception of perhaps in Oakbank or one of the smaller towns and almost never did the work of courts. While it is true the SPS did not have a dispatch service that would be aware at all times of approximately where officers were, the work they were required to do did not involve any more danger than for example the work of employees of our Public Works Department or the receptionist at the front desk of Administration, Public Works, or the Police office.** Um now with the secondment, with the agreement with the RCMP, they are now utilizing the RCMP dispatch and monitoring system at no cost to the RM. Um this has also built a stronger relationship with the RCMP. Springfield Police Service has the opportunity to have training by the RCMP and they don't have their mandate to do any more when it comes to First Aid, firearms, I'm going to forget a whole bunch, use of force, etc. The Firearms training needs to be done by the Winnipeg City Police because they have a different weapon than the RCMP. You cannot cross train on weapons but that is at a very minimum expense and is mandatory training, can't do nothing about it. Any other training is provided within the RCMP free of charge with us using their computer systems or other investigational um courses. Um the, as well with the **NOTE: Members of the Springfield Police Service, even back before 2014 when I became a Councillor, were sent on training courses, usually provided by the Winnipeg Police Service. Further I would take umbrage at Ms. Wowchuk's assertion that the present members of SPS are better qualified than previous members. The former Chief Pheby, who also served as an officer prior to his promotion, served as a Police Officer with the Toronto Police Service for approximately I believe 20 to 25 years, Constable Mike Ward previously served with the Winnipeg Police Service, Cst. Monkman served with the Ste. Anne Police Service before coming to Springfield, another of the members came from the Calgary Police Service, I could go on. Of the current members, I have no knowledge. I do know that the majority of Chief Hitchon's experience was with the Canadian Armed Forces, not a urban or rural police service.** change in police officers over the last couple of years we actually have better quality trained police officers than we had before uh and I am hoping Chief Hitchon will touch on this but the RCMP now has a better understanding of the Springfield Police Office individual capabilities and they are quite impressed with members of the Springfield Police Service. Presently the Springfield Police Service is not recruiting, there's no vacancies right now, and if the recruiting on that is separate from the RCMP and is still following the Springfield Police Service recruiting policy and procedures in which hiring trained police officers as we do not have a training academy. Um so that and the Springfield Police Service has the RCMP facility and tools to use at any time so if it's breathalyzer, sorry I'm going to change the name of that, so if it's breathalyzer or any of those other, cells, interview rooms, and whatever they need, they have access to the RCMP Detachment. That's what this agreement has and again, there's not an increase in the operational budget. The priorities still for the Springfield Police Service is that the Springfield Police Board advises the Chief, it is still the same as traffic, bylaws and community policing. If they do come across an impaired driver, they have to act on it so they stop somebody for speeding and the guy is drunk, I don't think any community member would want Springfield Police Service saying, "well, we can't deal with this" and let them drive off. Other than it being also illegal and negligent in duties, they cannot do this and they would act on those type of offenses. And keeping in mind the changes in legislation, an impaired driver is not necessarily getting a criminal record, there is other avenues available to the policing services in Manitoba that they can each go more of an administration suspension which is not a criminal offense so to speak. Um also the um the Springfield Police Service has its own policy and procedures separate from the RCMP uh but I will say that they align themselves with the RCMP. Now Chief Hitchon, can you just comment on how this secondment agreement is working on an operational basis. Is it, is the partnership in hand, can you maybe, how the guys get along, can you maybe just talk about that. **Chief Hitchon** - That's just a common agreement, it's a win/win for both agencies and that I need to make sure that our shifts, first of all, are aligned with the RCMPs, so an RCMP member is working basically the SPS members, it's the same members, they work on the same together so even though an RCMP shift is working with the Springfield Police so they need to know their common partners very closely. They know how they work. At the same time like you said the dispatching is done by both agencies, it is done by the RCMP which is the saving us \$120,000. That's what it would have cost a year for having dispatching through Brandon which all the other agencies in the Province use excluding Winnipeg. So it's a win/win right there off the bat for us. With this common agreement, our members can do a file, any file regardless of what it is excluding by-law or traffic. The Staff Sergeant of the RCMP and including myself, the files I will review it, they will review it, and since it's the RCMP computers data base system, PROS that Staff Sgt. Sookermanny, he has the final say because it's his, it's on the RCMP system however I reviewed it already by this time and usually there's no problem. What we have been noticing which is very good working wise is that our our guys are getting training at no cost, they're getting more and more experience doing um different types of files they would not have had before. The working relationship, we do all the spot check programs now instead of just having two SPS members out there, last week we had 9 members, we had 4 SPS and 5 RCMP doing all the spot check programs. **NOTE: Wait just a minute. That Program is a Provincial policing program and our members should not be unavailable to residents of Springfield should they require some assistance or should work of the municipality require doing.** Cause now they're working jointly together with that and you have better safety, we have better, we are able to do much more together. When it comes to, you talk about training, the RCMP have actually asked a couple of our members, Sgt. Turner who's an SPS member, teaches for Winnipeg City Police in weapons, he's an instructor with them, Cst. Zilman, who's one of the Sexual Assault investigators, and Criminal By-law investigators for the Canadian Forces, he's now doing the last 3 months all the Sexual Assault Files out of Oakbank. They got him to do some teaching to their own members showing how do you do warrants, how do you do a ? warrant, how do you do a speeding warrant, they're using our member to help teach the younger members so it's not just RCMP teaching us, it's both, it's going that way too which is great. **NOTE: Well, it might be great**

for the RCMP and in the opinion of Chief Hitchon, but it is not so great for Springfield ratepayers who are funding a police service that is supposed to be dedicated to performing the enforcement work of the Municipality who are now being seconded to perform work for the RCMP for which they are paying in their Federal taxes. IN OTHER WORDS, THEY ARE PAYING TWICE FOR THE SAME LEVEL OF SERVICE. THE RCMP ARE NOT EXCLUSIVE TO THE RM OF SPRINGFIELD. THEY ARE SERVING OTHER MUNICIPALITIES. If the Springfield Police Service were disbanded and no longer a part of the Municipal service package, the tax dollars they are currently spending for having Springfield Police, approximately half a million or more dollars, would no longer be part of their property tax dollars BUT the RCMP, which is a service provided by the Province under the auspices of the Federal Government, would then be required to provide more members to serve the region covered by the Oakbank Detachment and Beausejour to do the work now being done by SPS members. That cost would be shared by all Canadians paying Federal income tax.

And in turn, I was speaking to ? at Selkirk, we asked about training and they said they've asked our members how Sgt. Turner high speed vehicle take down instructor. He's also an instructor for ? houses or an active shooter. They've asked ... if he'd show them how to do their , how to clear a school, so come this Spring, SPS is going to be showing some of the RCMP members, the junior guys, how to do that. So the training is going both ways, at no cost, and it's doing that rapport between the two agencies. So it's a win/win situation all the way through.

Chair Wowchuk - So what happens when say I call 911. I live out in the middle of nowhere. So what happens.

Chief Hitchon - So the 911 call comes in and the first thing it becomes what they call 201 which is dispatched to the RCMP, dispatch is going to come on the radio and say Oakbank it's a 201 on a 911 call at 123 Main St. One of two things is going to happen, the closest vehicle to the area is going to take the call, it could be SPS, it could be the RCMP. If RCMP are busy, it's going to be SPS. If we're busy, it's going to be RCMP. Before, it always went to the RCMP, if they were busy they had to bring somebody in from Beausejour or from Selkirk. Now we can be sitting around the corner and be able to take that call. We have the same communication...same radios so each and every call that comes in, so the call comes in, they put it over the radio and the closest car will respond so instead of waiting 15 minutes before you might only wait 5 depending on which is closest to the ?.

Chair Wowchuk - So in your opinion, I'm going to ask your professional opinion um in your experience working with different agencies, do you see the public safety for the RM of Springfield residents increased because of this agreement?

Chief Hitchon - It's definitely increased, I can give you some examples even. Last week unfortunately ?? fatalities in 4 1/2 months on Highway 12. A call came in and one of our members happened to be in Glass. Before we would have never known about that call. This time we were only 5 minutes from the accident scene. Unfortunately the individual passed away however our member is still happy that we even had a member 5 minutes from the call and we now know about..we've gone through the metrics. The RCMP have been tied up at another location at another domestic. They can't be at one domestic and go to another domestic. A call comes in, you know our guy is free, an SPS member is free, he now can go to that second domestic so now we can deal with two domestics at once, not just one because you know waiting for the one officer for a domestic. So you should have 2 officers for a domestic, now we can do that. You're not waiting 20 minutes or half an hour to get somebody there sooner than later.

Chair Wowchuk - Hopefully that satisfies things with the public. Uh while we're on the topic, any Board members have any questions regarding to the agreement with the R.C.M.P. that they want to ask Steve?

Chief Hitchon - We've been asked too, why do we train those guys and I would like to give you an example. Wayne Gretzky is the greatest hockey player that played hockey. Tom Brady is the greatest football player to play. They..and they don't play and Andrew Harris plays for a great team (laughing) but those people though, once they've done their training, they keep qualifying on a daily basis to get better. If they don't they lose their skill set. We have to keep qualifying on a daily basis to keep our skill set up. That's why we train on Use of Force, generally we do it every 3 months and sometimes Use of Force every 4 months but yearly we have to be qualified just to get a standard that's Canada wide.

Michael Breward - Cause this is, when we had the training with Andrew Minor, when you're looking at KPIs and what have you, you know this is I would actually argue that KPIs should include a certain amount of training because one of the tenets of ? full perspectus, financial, customer, internal processes and training and development and training and development is one of the foundation ideas that with training and development, you get better processes, we get better customer service as we have seen. Now in this case financial is not...well we have to be mindful of financial, we're not trying to maximize profit or minimize cost etc. we have this possible return .. so take the financial out of it. But this is one of the basic tenets of the balanced recovery which Andrew Minor went over in our training 2 to 3 years ago um it's also what the RCMP uses as well that learning and training makes your organization better. well, if you have the training is, makes your organization better. It's not all about just the customer because when the customer satisfaction surveys come up, well if you have a lot of training you take up customers but if you don't have the training, you'll end up with ultimately ticked off customers but you don't know that for several months down the road so the whole premise of a balanced score card that a number of police forces look at is that training, that learning and training aspect of it helps if it's done right uh and appropriately and it is being done right ...in my opinion that will impact processes that will impact the customer and we have seen that in spades uh so for all those people um that question training, they're misinformed and they're coming from a completely erroneous perspective. If you don't have training, then it goes even for "for profit" companies. Everybody cuts training as soon as there's an economic downturn except the big companies. That's when they retain them because that's how you learn of opportunities while the other people are ripping staff and ripping training, good companies maintain that training to make sure to keep the customer front and centre and so everybody that keeps on moaning about the training is woefully misinformed about strategy and how organizations work and training is the bedrock for moving an organization or organization close up.

Chair Wowchuk - Thank you for that. And the only thing I want to reinforce is or stress is that this agreement is more, is a partnership, it is not..it should not be seen as a previous relationship with the RCMP and Springfield Police Service as a primary and secondary police force

NOTE: It seems to me I recall that the Springfield Police Service was viewed by certain on the former Police Board, notably Ms. Wowchuk, that SPS was a secondary force and not the equal of the RCMP. as there is actually no definition in the Police Services Act for that. Um so that term I think you're going to see being used um so it's a partnership, it's not a primary and a secondary and the Department of Justice did not say that it was primary and secondary, they say it's a partnership. Anything else about this common agreement before we move on to the next correspondence?

Councillor Williams - Is it possible that we can get the public educated on that? I know there's a lot of concern about having two police services.

Chair Wowchuk - Was there not supposed to be something .. around that?

Chief Hitchon - Is was supposed to 3 or 4 months ago..

Chair Wowchuk - And that was given to the CAO and the CAO has not done that yet correct?

Chief Hitchon - Ya, nothing's come out from the RM, however we will be maybe touching that I mean when the Chief questions people .. maybe it can be discussed.

Michael Breward - We should try to get it in the paper if that's the plan.

Councillor Williams - Just be careful

Chair Wowchuk - Yes. It's an agreement ...

Councillor Williams - It's one of those kind of things ... Chief Hitchon could ...

Chair Wowchuk - But that will come with I think those questions are going to come up. I mean, I see it on Facebook, why do we have 2 police services, you know, it should just be one, right. Uh and it's social media, right um but ya no but I think it's still up to the Municipality to put something in uh and, and Peter is correct, it was ...the Mayor and Council signed it as with the RCMP. Ok I don't know if we should touch base

with Colleen or just leave it. So the CAO .. **Chief Hitchon** - I can pass it on. I'm going ... Um the first one is the 15th of January.

Councillor Williams - Um ...Colleen, it might be good to touch base with Colleen. **Chair Wowchuk** - Ok did you want me to send her an email or did you want... **Councillor Williams** - I could do that. **Chair Wowchuk** - Thank you, Mr. Williams. **Greg Penner** - .. coming out in advance of the Police Chief that might pre-empt some of this but (indcipherable conversation between Ann, Greg, and Peter) **Chief Hitchon** - Should we review it at this time...I mean...agreement ... **Councillor Williams** - ...should be reviewed by the Board. I'm capable of doing that but if anybody else on Council is concerned we don't want to seem to be subverting ... **Chair Wowchuk** - I could send you an e-mail asking you to look into it, would that help? **Councillor Williams** - Yep. **Chair Wowchuk** - Ok. **Councillor Williams** - You can just over...is there any documentation about... **Chief Hitchon** - I want to say there's supposed to be something around September, back in... **Chair Wowchuk (interrupting)** - Well, I know there's an announcement about the agreement, ... **Chief Hitchon** - We had the photographs and did all that out front here and there was supposed to be something **Chair Wowchuk** - I thought there was something even about the call numbers and stuff like that...the contact numbers ... anything else, ok the next correspondence I received was from the Rural Municipality of Springfield in regards to our proposal to the budget next year. Uh the Mayor and Council had asked the Board to put their wishes down earlier than other years um so we did that and without going into too much of the details of the proposals the Police Board presented to Council, I'm just going to do an over, quick overview. One the first proposal was to replace the current 2018 Ford Interceptor um it's basically getting old, it's had some significant amount of mileage on it um and there was an opportunity um to sell it to another law enforcement to get some of the money back for purchasing a new one. Um now just so to eliminate confusion we are, the Springfield Police Service is still waiting for another vehicle. This is separate. This is a replacement vehicle for a vehicle that they're already using. Um the other proposal the Police Board did, partly because um the Board I think, feels that safety is ...for the Springfield police officers is important but also public safety is important. Um they have had an increase in work load **NOTE: I should say so considering that they are doing calls that went to the RCMP originally and were dispatched by the RCMP.** some of it being um proactive, some of it is reactive uh and that also includes four spectrums um traffic enforcement to criminal code offenses to even bylaws have increased from this Police Service than in previous years. Uh so it was felt that, and Chief Hitchon came to the Board and the Board agreed, it was felt that um in order to increase and keep the level of public safety in the community it would be beneficial to hire two more members for the Springfield Police Service and we did ask Council for two new members. One of them was a grant from MPI, their salaries more than likely would have been covered. Um so this is the response to Mayor and Council dated November 30th, 2021, Springfield Police Board via e-mail *Springfield Police Board, RM of Springfield Dear Board Members Re 2022 Police Budget. On behalf of the Council we would like to thank the Police Board for the Budget Presentation on November the 9th., 2021. The Operational Budget presented showed a net increase of 6.4% related to inflation and contract obligations as well as taking into account new grant opportunities available to the Department. Council is pleased to advise that the Operating Budget has been approved as submitted. Your proposal to hire two additional police officers is not approved at this time. This request is expected to increase the budget by approximately \$144,000. It has never been the intent of Council to increase fine revenues to offset expenses as suggested in the proposal. The increase would have to be covered off by a further increase in the mill rate which Council is not prepared to approve. Council reminds the Police Board that Springfield Police serves as a secondary to the RCMP and therefore it is not the role of Springfield Police Service to provide full police coverage. The Municipality has not had time to see the full effects of this common agreement between Springfield Police Service and the RCMP and to fully understanding what effect those may have on the service provided and the effects to the budget. Council would need more time to analyze what's been proposed in to shift ? in respect to additional members. In regards to your proposal to replace the 2018 Ford Interceptor, Council is not prepared to approve this request at this time and request that fleet purchases are to be accompanied by a vehicle replacement report indicating preventive maintenance performed to date, any down time if secured due to vehicle failures, and a list of current repairs required accompanied with a detailed quote to complete said repairs. This is expected from all municipal departments in the question of fleet purchase. Administration has discussed this requirement with the Police Chief. A report is welcome to come forward in 2022 for consideration with expectation purchase date of 2023 if approved.* So it's unfortunate this is Council's decision on this. Um I would suggest that some of this information in here uh oh some of the response is, is um I won't say accurate but it's um for one example I know when, oh sorry it's signed sincerely Tiffany Fell um and cc'd to the Councillors. Um it's kind of unfortunate that when we have a vehicle that may put a employee in harm that the Council is obviously going to accept the liability of that. Um by refusing to look at it in regards to you know the two new..the two additional members I hope it does cover off the safety issues umm we'll see, in time to be adjusted of the priorities in 2022 as a Chair and as a Board member I'm prepared to look at that in the March meeting. Having said that, um and after the Chief Hitchon does his analysis with the vehicle um I'm more than willing to go before the Council again and ask them again if you ask me if I have the Board's support on that and that. So any comments about the correspondence from Mayor and Council? Ok. **Michael Breward** - I share your comments on what you're saying, that's all I'm willing to say. **Chair Wowchuk** - It should not be the Chief of Police going to Council asking and they should be going to the Board. That ..having said that, if we give them what documentation they're asking for, that would be appreciated and if they still say no, then I am going to go back and ..ask them why. There's no indication as to why the Mayor and Council is or why Council is saying No. Mind you there's not much sense in saying No. They're basically saying No, there's no real...there's not a big explanation. Any other comments? So do you want to leave these two issues and proposals now or we'll wait to next Budget year or ... **Michael Breward** - I'd like to keep pushing for...cause... **Greg Penner**- Well I think most of the information they've asked for we had collected the view that it might not have been all the members that had been for it. **Michael Breward** - Right that's true, cause I do recall **Greg Penner** - Because we had talked about preparing something like this for the upcoming meetings. **Chair Wowchuk** - Have we got anything back from them ... I know you're waiting for .. **Greg Penner** - Sometime next week .. **Chief Hitchon** - Before going in next week for 3 hours unfortunately \$150. an hour was our fee to quote that so um it's going .. yesterday's...we could go next week or next year for a fuel pump but we do know there's some big items that won't transition at this point. **Chair Wowchuk** - When you were talking to the CEO did she give any indication as to what monetary we're looking at. Have we priced the new vehicle so ..Council could be spending \$60,000. **Chief Hitchon** - They've spent quite a bit of money this year. **Chair Wowchuk** - And we're still going to have to replace it. **Chief Hitchon** - Exactly. There's certain parts of the vehicle, you just can't replace it **Chair Wowchuk** - I mean, so they didn't give you any kind of indication as to **Chief Hitchon** - absolutely.. the letter only. We have the letter. I was told to put it off to the vehicle to see what the estimated cost of the repair would be to fix this vehicle. The problem is we don't know everything that's wrong with the vehicle, you know, it's like an autopsy, you don't know until the guy is dead. The same with the vehicle, we won't know what's actually wrong until it actually fails and even already the transmission is slipping sometimes... **Chair Wowchuk** - Did you not have an incident with that vehicle at a fire scene? **Chief Hitchon** - the vehicle would not come out of park ... **Chair Wowchuk** - And you were .. the Fire Truck after getting to the scene. **Chief Hitchon** - Exactly. So we're not

going to ... we sat there for about a minute and the Fire Truck could not get to an actual fire because it was locked in park ... **Chair Wowchuk** - So it needs a new trannie. **Chief Hitchon** - ... the trannie is going on it. **Chair Wowchuk** - Ok, well.... **Michael Breward** - When, when they do that estimate, can they give you a list of what they....and I know it's a guess because you know, it's... um so I know it's a guesstimate...would they be able to give you a time line of the probability of these things going...3, 6, 9 months, 12 months uh with the associated costs. **Chief Hitchon** - I can ask. The problem is police vehicles are driven hard and they're not driving like the average person drives to church, it's kind of you go from 0 to 130 sometimes real quick and stopping real quick and so that's hard on a vehicle. That's why the police are able usually to compact these after .. so um it's hard to say but I can ask. That's all I can really do is ask. **Michael Breward** then goes on rambling about what the report might say as to what one thinks is going to be wrong with the vehicle by the end of December 2022 and how much, itemize what cause I think every reasonable, again you know the trannie is slipping so in my experience when you're driving a personal car, the trannie starts slipping....(indecipherable) ...so these kind of things I think we say ok buy it by December 2022 what would be thought of as an expense when you're driving a personal car and the trannie starts slipping **Chief Hitchon** - Just to give you an idea, our budget for vehicle per year is \$12,000. Police has \$12,600 in that vehicle itself in one year. **Michael Breward** - I did want to go over the individual details but uh again, if I look at repairs here...um....repairs...thereit's...it's already...it's overspent by almost 19% for vehicles so are they going to be amenable to this going up 2, 3, 4 times from the \$12,000. to \$48 or 60 thousand cause that's what's going to happen and now you just spent...evaporate... money gone, 60 grand that could have got two more vehicles and that's excellent. **Chair Wowchuk** - But if Council's not willing to give us the money more for repairs, why ..don't they take that vehicle off the road? **NOTE: It seems to me the Director of Public Works, Blaine Moffat, is responsible for vehicle replacement. Why has the Police Board or Chief Hitchon not brought the deficiencies of this vehicle up to Mr. Moffat? He should be the one reporting to Council, not the Chief.** **Chief Hitchon** - We're averaging \$5,000/month/vehicle, that's a lot of dollars. **Chair Wowchuk** - Anything more on the correspondence from the....so are we going wait to see what happens to the vehicle and push for the vehicle. **Michael Breward** - Absolutely. **Chair Wowchuk** - And put the 2 members on the side .. on the back burner for now? **Michael Breward** - I might do. I mean ... I don't want to put any pressure on. I'm more than happy to do it but I think it's a public safety issue and various members of Council as I recall were screaming for 24 by 7 coverage and now all of a sudden they're not so why? **Chair Wowchuk** - Good question. Unfortunately we can't discuss this document with the Council so .. **Michael Breward** - Ya that's right cause they technically .. this is the second time around, they can't .. **Chair Wowchuk** - If there's nothing further I move to an In Camera session. **Michael Breward** - Second. **Chair Wowchuk** asked Chief Hitchon to stay for the In Camera. THE RECORDING STOPS AND THEY NEVER RETURN OFFICIALLY FROM THE IN CAMERA and officially adjourn.

8. In Camera Session

9. Adjournment